

Dear Brittany Mulligan (#436320), London Police Service:

I am supplying you with an information. It contains criminal code violation information.

Due to the fact that a very large number of Police Officers are refusing to receive this information verbally, or otherwise, I would kindly like to remind you of code of conduct, specifically from the schedule in O. Reg. 268/10: GENERAL under Police Services Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.15, s2 (1) (c):

2 (1)(c) **Neglect of Duty**, in that he or she,

(vi) **fails to report a matter that it is his or her duty to report,**

(vii) **fails to report anything that he or she knows concerning a criminal or other charge,**

The following are likely words you may resonate with, or understand fully:

Police Officers have stated "I'd love to speak out, but I can't, I'd lose my job". If they whistle blow the system, the system is going to find a way to punish them.

"They'll get a desk job, you don't want a desk job".

"They can give you a job you're going to hate, they can make you quit."

"However, for the most part, you still will have a job. Police Officers and other Peace Officers are afraid to speak up because they are in the system."

"At what point do you speak out?" --- "When your freedoms are all gone?, when people are getting hurt like in the US?"

Police Officers have flatly stated that the RCMP is really corrupt, and mentioned that there is a cover up in the Nova Scotia murders. "There is no reasonable information and they are not telling us what they know."

"I will lose my certification if I speak out."

"If you are not on the same page as management, they will punish you."

"They can make your life so miserable, you just want to quit. A lot of us are on board."

"Career ending move." (by speaking out)

HOWEVER, you are needed and respectfully requested and respectfully demanded to investigate fully the following INFORMATION. In effect, this is a NOTICE OF DEMAND - to investigate the Premier & Lieutenant Governor of Ontario for criminal code violations including HOAX - Terrorism..

On the first page that follows, please take note of names of Police Officers who have been approached in some manner, and let it be known that they showed some form of contempt for this information.

The duties of the Police are to safeguard fundamental rights and freedoms as well as the human rights code - Police Services Act s1.2.

Violations needing protection - small list here - Domestic Terrorism, HOAX regarding Terrorism, the right to gaining of a livelihood, torture, abuse due to lockdowns that violate section 7.0.1 (2) of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act, not demonstrably justifying the original declaration of emergency with sworn under oath otherwise information, not protecting population from breathing in CO2 - toxic substance, isolation, suicides.

"Over 30 institutions and offices around the world have been queried through Freedom of Information requests for records containing proof that the alleged SARS-COV-2 virus in fact exists. The responses have yielded in total no records. Ontario public health officials have zero legitimate evidence to support their story of a "COVID-19 virus" let alone a "COVID-19" pandemic. The virus is unproven and purely theoretical. They have committed FRAUD on the population."

Currently, there is no declared emergency - terminated Jul 24, 2020. The Information is as follows:

To the holder of this document and to everyone in ONTARIO,

And to.... Toronto Police Officer Eugene Peplinski (#10778 div 52):

PC Talesnic (#5977 div 53), PC Snider (#10644 div 53), PC Balachorek (#99798 div 51)

I would like to bring to your attention violations of the criminal code, sections 21, 83.01 (1) (b), 83.231 (1), 122, 126, 128, 176, 180, 218, 219, 245, 269, 269.1, 346, 361, 380, 423, 429, 430.

PLEASE ENSURE EVERY POLICE OFFICER IN ONTARIO GETS THIS DOCUMENT.

NAMES OF ACCUSED:

The accused are Ontario Premier Doug Ford (DOB November 20, 1964), and the Ontario Lieutenant Governor Elizabeth Dowdeswell (DOB November 9, 1944).

ADDRESS OF ACCUSED:

Accused are employed in Toronto, ON, with offices at Queens Park, 111 Wellesley St W, M7A 1A1
The phone number of Premier Doug Ford is 416-325-1941.

The phone number of Lieutenant Governor Elizabeth Dowdeswell is 416-325-7780.

Numerous criminal code violations were committed by Ontario Premier Doug Ford, and the Ontario Lieutenant Governor Elizabeth Dowdeswell.

The offenses were committed at Queens Park.

The offenses were committed on March 17, 2020, and March 30, 2020 and all other days that the NON DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED orders are in place - by failing to obey the Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the Human Rights Code, failing to obey s7.0.2(3) of Emergency Act.

The DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY was part of a UN and WHO system-wide training and simulation exercise of a deliberate release of a lethal respiratory pathogen. See documentation below. The actions taken are an inhumane act on a civilian population. Page 18 verifies this. Page 17 shows it was planned before September 2019. Page 19 shows only 1 death in Canada as of March 20, 2020. This is not a Pandemic.

"Over 30 institutions and offices around the world have been queried through Freedom of Information requests for records containing proof that the alleged SARS-COV-2 virus in fact exists. The responses have yielded in total no records. Ontario public health officials have zero legitimate evidence to support their story of a "COVID-19 virus" let alone a "COVID-19" pandemic. The virus is unproven and purely theoretical. They have committed FRAUD on the population."

PARTICULARS OF OFFENCE:

DATE: March 17, 2020 and March 30, 2020.

LOCATION: QUEENS Park, Toronto, Ontario.

OFFENCES ALLEGED:

RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT OF CHILDREN, CHILD ABUSE, ELDER ABUSE, HEALTHY PEOPLE ABUSE, INHUMANE ACTS ON CIVILIAN POPULATIONS, BODILY HARM, FAILING TO OBEY A STATUTE, TORTURE, DOMESTIC TERRORISM, HOAX regarding TERRORISM.

Section 21- Party to offense: The accused did unlawfully commit numerous offenses. In particular, violating section 126 Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute. The statute violated was section 7.0.2 (1) & (3) of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act. Emergency measures were not in accordance with the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. The measures violated section 1 of the Charter as there was never any sworn, under oath DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED evidence or reasoning for an emergency declaration.

The measures violated virtually every aspect of section 2 of the Charter. In particular, one's ability to have an alternative opinion and/or belief due to overwhelming fear mongering and false facts regarding how hospitals and test centers were overloaded. Freedoms affected were freedom of peaceful assembly, freedom of association. The measures isolated virtually everyone in Ontario. A very significant right of the gaining a livelihood was violated on a large scale.

Sections 83.01 (1) (b) and 83.231 (1) - Domestic Terrorism, and HOAX regarding Terrorism - The accused did cause public intimidation with regards to its security including economic security, causing persons to do or refrain from doing any act, such as freedom of assembly, freedom of the right to the gaining of a livelihood, freedom to not breathe in ones own carbon dioxide, among other things. This recklessly endangered the lives of children, elderly, the vulnerable, and the healthy segment of the population. The accused also caused serious disruption and interference of essential services. The accused never supplied sworn records or sworn proof of the criteria and circumstances for a Declaration of Emergency and never disclosed which resources or which circumstances existed in section 7.0.1 (3) 2. It is deemed to be a HOAX without such sworn under oath DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED proof required by section 1 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. They acted with intention and wanton and reckless disregard for the lives of the population, causing severe hardships, reckless child endangerment, and suicides. The report on the 129 day Declaration of Emergency did not divulge the criteria and circumstances for the declaration of Emergency nor was it ever divulged under oath to the population at any other point. It can easily be said that the resources in question in section 7.0.1 (3) 2 were staples or paper clips. The accused failed to disclose sworn records containing proof that the alleged SARS-COV-2 virus in fact exists.

"Deaths are included whether or not COVID-19 was determined to be a contributing or underlying cause of death as indicated in the iPHIS field Type of Death." (source = <https://files.ontario.ca/moh-covid-19-report-en-2020-06-26.pdf> page 14)

"There is no question that there will be a challenge to the coming administration in the arena of infectious diseases," Dr. Fauci said during a speech at Georgetown University (July 2017), adding, "the thing we're extraordinarily confident about is that we're going to see this in the next few years."

One example of a very significant essential service that was seriously disrupted and interfered with is access to a Justice of the Peace by any member of the population. This has happened. The "JP" office is closed or was closed to the population for over a month.

Section 122 - Breach of trust. The accused broke trust by disobeying statutes as stated herein, as well as committed fraud through debt increase - making children and teens and the unborn debt laden. No one has the authority to put people born 100 years from now into debt.

Section 126 - Disobeying a Statute. The accused disobeyed section 7.0.2 (1) & (3)(1) of the Emergency Management & Civil Protection Act, violating the Charter of Rights & Freedoms. The accused disobeyed the Constitution Act, 1982, in particular, sections 52, 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 26, 31. Accused obstructed religious/moral purpose gatherings, & gaining of a livelihood.

Section 128 - Misconduct. There are numerous reports in a variety of medium that CORONERS are involved with fraudulent death certificates labelling COVID for deaths completely unrelated to the death, or if there were co-morbidities. Accused neglected to DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFY with proven, sworn under oath evidence. MEDIA reports cannot be used because many reports have been shown to be false by independent citizen journalists. Deaths were labelled COVID-19 for even gunshot deaths. Accused did not protect and were party in some form for autopsies to no longer be performed, never being able to prove nor verify cases. The so called virus was never isolated nor purified.

Section 176 - Obstructing religious services and gatherings for good or moral purposes. Emergency measures violated Charter section 2 (c), obstructing religious worship and/or gatherings for good or moral purposes.

Section 180 - Nuisance. By failing to discharge their duties to honour/obey the Charter of Rights and Freedoms, the accused recklessly endangered the lives of children, the elderly, and the healthy segment of the population. Suicides are up significantly. Homelessness, domestic abuse, loss of gaining a livelihood, and significant increase in drug abuse. The comfort of the public was severely affected by COVID-19 measures not DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED. The health of the public was endangered.

Section 218 - The accused, through failing to act to safeguard and protect, did recklessly endanger the lives of children. Masks and social distancing damage children, actually almost everyone. Children have become docile on school buses and within schools. Carbon Dioxide, trapped within a mask will do this. Carbon Dioxide causes active rats/rodents/animals to slow down, then lie down, then die. CO₂ is listed as a TOXIC SUBSTANCE, item #74 of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999. Oxygen deprivation occurs as the mask becomes a CO₂ retention chamber. Children are berated by other children for not wearing a mask – even while exempt.

Section 219 - The accused did neglect and wantonly and recklessly neglected to hear/receive any voices or messages from the population that particular emergency measures and measures in general were harmful to the overall population – children, elderly, workers, nonworkers, etc. COVID-19 measures were not DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED.

Section 245 - The population was forced to wear facemasks, forcing the population to breathe in a noxious/toxic substance. CO₂ is listed as a TOXIC SUBSTANCE, item #74 of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999.

Section 269 & 269.1 - TORTURE. Every element of TORTURE is satisfied as a result of the non DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED measures, without any protection to the population. See PAGE 7 for TORTURE details. There is NO DEFENSE for TORTURE - even in a public emergency.

Section 346 - Extortion. Extortion was committed on the population by the NON DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED measures.

Section 361 - False Pretence. The accused misrepresented fact based evidence, including the number of cases, the unreliable PCR test, case load of hospitals, which are known to be nearly empty. There is less than 1 person in intensive care per hospital in Ontario.

Section 380 - Fraud. The accused did not do anything to protect children and teens from extreme government debt. As well, they acted outside statutory authority to put babies born hundreds of

years out into debt for the lives of people who have lived and died many years before them. This constitutes being party to an offense of FRAUD. The measures were not DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED to incur exorbitant costs on the adult population as well.

Section 423 - Intimidation, as there is coercion through threats of excessive fines for non compliance of these NON DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED measures.

Section 429 (1) - Wilfully causing EMERGENCY - The accused did wilfully cause affected rights and freedoms to be violated on a grand scale by way of order, and action in support of orders.

Section 430 - Mischief. An extreme amount of property was interfered with in a variety of ways. Properties were rendered useless, inoperative or ineffective – businesses, churches, etc.

I will say:

The GPMB put out a document with a copyright date of 2019, with a released date of September 2019, telling of a UN and WHO planned simulation and training exercise for the release of a lethal respiratory pathogen - by September 2020. See GPMB portions of document below from page 18.

"Progress indicator(s) for September 2020"

"The United Nations (including WHO) conducts at least two system-wide training and simulation exercises, including one for covering the deliberate release of a lethal respiratory pathogen."

The emergency orders did not accompany any definitive DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED information per the act to prevent, reduce or mitigate a danger of major proportions that was sworn under oath and easily verified, violating the most fundamental laws, violating fundamental justice.

On March 17, 2020, according the Government of Canada website showing "history" to that point, there was only one case, and one death. This is not a pandemic. See screenshot on page19.

The accused followed a path, without real justification and caused serious harm in virtually all areas of life. The accused caused far greater collateral damage and serious harm than the benefits from the emergency measures.

There was no "emergency" that required a Declaration of Emergency. There was no situation or impending situation that constituted a danger of major proportion. HOWEVER, THERE IS ONE NOW BECAUSE OF THE EMEGENCY MEASURES.

The accused recklessly endangered the lives of a significant portion of the population. The accused did create a danger of major proportion with the unjustified DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY.

There is never, or nearly never, any discussion of a healthy lifestyle, such as a natural food diet, etc. Almost nothing from officials are to strengthen the population. Severe panic was created.

5G

We need to discuss 5G. 5G affects the immune system.

The frequency that 5G is using is a very very high frequency. It is just below the classification of a weapon. They are using a frequency that is 10 times faster than 4G, and when it comes into connection with human bodies, it causes cell poisoning. Cell poisoning!! So our bodies try to fight the radiation that is in the form of cell poisoning because of the frequency of the 5G electromagnetic waves. What happens is that our bodies kick out the toxicity or toxins with some proteins and some DNA/RNA from our cells in the form of a chemical which is called a virus. Most diseases are excretions from cells that we are trying to pull out of our body, push out of our body. And it will head towards orifices and be excreted out of our body, expunged out of our body, our noses, our mouths.

5G frequencies and power which is 10 times more powerful than 4G is reacting adversely with human cells causing cells in our bodies to be poisoned and toxic, and our natural defense mechanism is pushing out that toxicity in the form of a virus. So what you're seeing - it's not corona virus, rather you're seeing cell poisoning manifesting with fluids, chemicals, viruses that the body is trying to dispose of because it's harmful to our physical body.

All that's occurring in the world is a reaction to human bodies to the electrification of the universe through 5G satellites, 5G towers, 5G cells that are being populated in major cities around the world. This is what is causing this pandemic.

This is the largest global cover-up in history for the impact on human beings based on technological advancements.

What is happening is not the corona virus, rather what is happening is the body is producing a virus to reduce the toxic poisoning. Therefore the virus that we have is really a measure or indication of our cells excreting toxins. This is what's killing people - toxicity of cells from 5G exposure. One of the first cities that rolled out ubiquitous blanket 5G, it was Wuhan China where this corona virus was supposedly meant to start in some fish market.

It started as a result of radio frequencies at a very high frequency creating radiation that was toxic to cells. That is why people were falling over dying in the streets etc. So they tried to cover it up. Because it has a flu type characteristic, they are using corona virus to hide the fact that people are dying from the 5G frequency.

Other major cities rolled it out.

It takes about six months for the harm to occur in a body. It takes this long because the damages are additive. Damage is occurring at every moment of 5G exposure.

It takes six months to have a physical impact on your body. Last year Wuhan was the first city, then Spain rolled out 5G, then Italy rolled out 5G. There's an oncoming of fatalities because of the level of 5G penetration rollout of 5G. Italy is the most densely populated with 5G and the population in Italy had a very high number of casualties.

The corona virus is not what is killing people. It is clearly categorically unequivocally and scientifically proven that the radio frequencies that we are being exposed to is what is killing people. Why do they allow it? Because of multiple agendas - primarily because 5G is the only network with the speed to handle the future. Where we are going rapidly is a world run by artificial intelligence.

A new global currency will be coming out.

There will be driverless cars and a number of other things that need 5G to run on.

They are happy to sacrifice millions of lives around the world.

The cruise ships were installed with 5G. There were people getting sick on cruise ships. Hospitals have 5G, major cities have 5G, airports have 5G, so these are places where people will be expected to get sick because of the extraordinary inordinate amount of radiation poisoning that they were exposed to.

We have had three pandemics in the last 120 years. The first pandemic was in 1918 - the Spanish flu. This is when they implemented radio waves globally and increased the electrification of our universe. Guess what happens - millions of people died 6 months later with a pandemic that they called the Spanish flu pandemic.

Roll on a number of years - World War II, just after that, another pandemic which was the implementation of radar equipment globally. Satellites went up into the Van Allen belt. They sent satellites to the Van Allen belt. When that was implemented, there was a number of deaths from a pandemic very shortly after.

In 1968 the Hong Kong flu - they rolled out another level of satellites in the Van Allen belt - over 100,000 satellites that were transmitting radar signals - electromagnetic radiation. We had radio waves first at the beginning of the century and then radar equipment along with telecommunication equipment via satellites. This caused pandemics that killed multiple millions of people. Now the roll out of 5G - and this is now impacting people's lives and killing people around the world and they are covering it up in the name of corona virus.

These are facts and please go study 5G.

Russia has had no fatalities in the area of corona virus because they have not implemented 5G on a public use yet. They've only implemented it for exclusive use by the military.

Hence why they (Russia) had no fatalities.

Just to prove a point further, you're seeing in the news now, that people without underlying health issues or compromised immune systems are falling sick and dying of corona. This makes no sense that the young are dying.

The reason they are dying is the radiation is has no prejudice - young or old - you will succumb to the power of radiation.

This is a global phenomenon that needs to be reversed IMMEDIATELY.

TORTURE ON THE CIVILIAN POPULATION

USING THE POWER AND CONTROL WHEEL, BIDERMAN'S CHART OF COERCION AND AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL REPORT ON TORTURE TO DEMONSTRATE ABUSE BY OUR GOVERNMENT AND THE FAILURE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES

POWER AND CONTROL WHEEL

*The **Power and Control Wheel** is a visual tool that is useful in understanding how tactics of abuse are used by abusers to gain and maintain **power and control** over their victims.*

BIDERMAN'S CHART OF COERCION

***Biderman's Chart of Coercion** is a tool designed to demonstrate and explain the coercive methods of stress manipulation used to torture prisoners of war.*

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL REPORT ON TORTURE

The UN Convention Against Torture defines torture as "...the intentional infliction of severe physical or mental pain or suffering for purposes such as obtaining information or a confession, or punishing, intimidating or coercing someone." Torture is always illegal. "No exceptional circumstances whatsoever, whether a state of war or a threat of war, internal political instability or any other public emergency, may be invoked as a justification of torture."

The purpose of this document is to demonstrate how we are being abused/coerced and tortured by officials at all levels of government: federally, provincially and municipally, and how our law enforcement agencies are failing to protect its citizens, therefore committing misconduct.

ABUSE:

Using the power and control wheel (attached), it is quite evident that the government with its overreaching emergency measures is actually exhibiting 'power and control' and in doing so is in fact abusing its citizens.

In March it was determined by the powers that be that we needed to isolate and self-quarantine for 14-15 days to 'flatten to curve' to ensure that our health care facilities did not get overwhelmed. This included the lockdown where the majority of businesses and offices had to close down. No one could visit anyone and we had to adhere to strict social distancing procedures. We could go to a few select 'essential' businesses such as grocery stores, Walmart, Costco and other larger businesses, as long as we sanitized our hands, stood on circles and followed arrows. Small businesses were shuttered and none of us could get a haircut. Even our places of worship where one could find comfort were closed. It is now over six months and while things have begun to relax and open there are still stringent restrictions which are now at risk of returning to the early days of the lockdown.

Using the 'Power and Control Wheel', various aspects of the wheel will be addressed to demonstrate how we are being abused.

Using Isolation: From the beginning, we were told to isolate at home and have no contact with anyone that did not live with us. We not only had to maintain 6-foot social distancing but visiting or driving in the same car were verboten. Now we can do this but only if it is with our 'social circle of 10'. Nursing homes, group homes and people in the hospital are not allowed to have visitors. Our support systems have been decimated. Elderly people and palliative patients are dying alone. This is beyond abuse; it is cruel and heartless. This falls under 'controlling what someone does' and 'limiting outside involvement'. No one can get close enough to witness if there is abuse or neglect, or if people are mentally or emotionally stable. Of course, 'controlling where someone goes' falls under this category. At the beginning, we were not allowed to go to parks, travel out of town or out of the province. We are still not allowed to

travel to the US as the border is closed. Interestingly, 'what they read' (watch or listen to) is also a huge issue with extreme censorship silencing any voices that contradict or challenge WHO, CDC or Public Health.

In the future, it seems that there will be Isolation Facilities, and it is reported that there may be a secret non-voluntary isolation centre in Quebec, and as well as a facility in Alberta for children. We know that Trudeau has given the Premier money for an isolation facility in Toronto.

While searching for information on the topic of isolation, the following information was discovered.

California Civil Rights Attorney Leigh Dundas published a [video on Facebook](#) this week to show the devastating consequences of isolating children and forcing them to practice "social distancing" at places like school.

Here are some lesser known facts about social distancing and isolation:

- *It was developed 70 years ago by the CIA to break down enemies of state.*
- *It is the equivalent of smoking 15 cigarettes a day AND being an alcoholic.*
- *It doubles the risk of death, and destroys the part of the brain responsible for learning.*

She pointed out that according to the statistics and the CDC:

- *A child's risk of dying from COVID is 0.0%, per the CDC.*
- *No child has passed on COVID to a family member or third party (they do not transmit).*

She goes on to explain the historical origins of practicing Social Distancing, and how the technique was developed by the CIA to torture "enemies of the state."

<https://healthimpactnews.com/2020/children-have-0-00-chance-of-dying-from-covid-but-are-harmed-for-life-by-social-distancing-which-has-its-roots-in-cia-torture-techniques/>

Using Economic Abuse: 'Preventing someone from working'. With the closure of offices, schools and small businesses, economic issues have affected a large percentage of citizens. Businesses and offices closing have caused economic hardship in terms of revenue as well as employees being laid off or losing their jobs. The fact that schools were closed affected one's ability to work even if they had a job since they were now responsible for basically homeschooling their children. Daycare centres were also closed, and with self-isolation models it was nearly impossible to access child care services. With all the loss of income, people had no choice but to apply for CERB and other benefits from the government (basically welfare), 'making them ask for money' and 'giving them an allowance'. It is very interesting that government employees (federally, provincially and municipally) have continued to get their pay check even though they have been working reduced hours from home. Some doctors are able to continue receiving a pay check even though they do not have to physically see any patients or perform physical examinations. Teachers have continued to be paid. No economic hardship it seems. One wonders if those that have not lost economically are the ones filling out the surveys in favour of more restrictions.

Using Coercion & Threats: One statement that is repeated regularly is basically "If you do not comply, you could kill grandma." But it is an empty threat. They are killing countless elderly folk by isolating them. Most elderly are very lonely to begin with. Not being able to have visitors or emotional support from their families is throwing them into despair and hopelessness. Should they be unfortunate enough to contract Covid-19, apparently numerous DNR orders were issued without permission of the patient or family. As well, the loneliness and isolation are driving a number of elderly people to seek MAiD (Medical Assistance in Dying). As you can see, isolation is a bigger threat than the disease itself.

However, a real and present threat is the excessive fines that are threatened if we do not comply with mask wearing, social distancing or gathering in groups larger than that mandated. If a church has more than the prescribed number of attendees, they are threatened with a substantial fine. If a business opened without permission then that business faced a huge fine and loss of licence. Even now, if Ontario medical doctors, veterinarians, and other medical professionals do not comply with their association's edicts, they risk losing their licence. In fact, if they even speak out against the excessive regulations and loss of civil liberties, they too will be shunned, fired and perhaps lose their licences. Sure sounds like communism.

Using Intimidation: It is intimidating to go to shops and services and be greeted with someone policing the entrances. "Do you have a mask?" For some reason, many of these people are very militant and disrespectful. It is quite stressful to go anywhere, especially if one does not know the routine for that particular establishment. To be talked down to and sometimes shouted at if one makes a mistake is very demeaning and degrading, even by fellow compatriots who treat everyone as if they are contaminated. The blame lies with the media and the health/government officials who have conditioned the masses to view everyone as a danger to them.

Using Emotional Abuse: 'Playing mind games.' What comes to mind is "Two weeks to flatten the curve." Then another time interval was suggested, and another. Then, it was, "We may never get back to normal unless we have a vaccine". "This is the new normal." "The second wave is coming and it will be worse than the first", absolutely stripping the population of any hope things will ever go back to 'normal'. Wearing the masks is so dehumanizing (literally) and following arrows around a store is humiliating, like a herd of cattle being led to the slaughter house. The Premier has also resorted to 'name calling' protestors "Yahoos" as well as berating teenagers at a pop-up car rally saying "They need to have their brains scanned." Because teenagers are largely unaffected by Covid, Mr. Ford referred to their elderly relatives and that the teens are not considering them, trying to make them 'feel bad about themselves' (guilt trip).

Using Children: As above, parents are living under the threat that if anyone in their family gets sick there is the chance that in the future their children will be forcefully removed from the home and taken to an isolation facility. There are already states that are trying to implement these measures. Children have not handled the Covid-19 situation very well. They are separated from their friends, peers, teachers and relatives. Even now as schools are open, they still must socially distance. As above, this will significantly impact children for many, many years, and some of these children may never recover.

Using Dominance: For sure we are all being treated like children. Decisions are being made "for our own good". Nobody asked us how we wanted to handle this 'crisis'. We were not given a choice. We are not given a choice to follow the rules. We have a choice but it could cost us a great deal and not many are willing to pay the price for freedom of thought, expression and speech. Our lives are being dictated by unelected health officials and elected officials who are answering to the unelected UN (WHO).

Minimising, Denying, Blaming: 'Making light of the abuse and not taking concerns seriously.' As our Premier says, "No one wants to open things up more than I do". That is not true. He still has a business and no loss of income. It seems there is no loss of freedom as well. But rarely does he address the effect this is having on our economy and the lives of the citizens. He does not quote the number of suicides, or the people that died of heart attacks because they were afraid to go to the ER or their 'non-essential' surgeries were cancelled. How about those who will not get their cancer diagnoses in time to save their lives due to lack of physical examinations and diagnostic imaging?

COERCION AND TORTURE:

For this section, the reader is referred to Amazing Polly's YouTube videos, "Is This Torture?" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3yk3xezML8Q>) and "Your Body is Their Weapon - We're all Patients Now" (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jeVf8Bq1knk>). Certain aspects of these reports will be highlighted. (Also available on Bitchute - <https://www.bitchute.com/channel/ZofFQQoDoqYT/>)

In the video "Is This Torture", Amazing Polly submits evidence and examples comparing our situation to the study of torture & coercion on Prisoners of War. It becomes clear that what we are being put through with the virus response is a near perfect parallel to the Amnesty International definition of torture (attached)& Biderman's 'Chart of Coercion.'

As a reminder, *The UN Convention Against Torture defines torture as "...the intentional infliction of severe physical or mental pain or suffering for purposes such as obtaining information or a confession, or punishing, intimidating or coercing someone."* Torture is always illegal. "No exceptional circumstances whatsoever, whether a state of war or a threat of war, internal political instability or any other public emergency, may be invoked as a justification of torture."

And: **Biderman's Chart of Coercion** is a tool designed to demonstrate and explain the coercive methods of stress manipulation used to torture prisoners of war. (See below)

Biderman's Chart of Coercion

A tool designed to demonstrate and explain the coercive methods of stress manipulation used to torture prisoners of war. It has been applied to explain the coercive techniques used by perpetrators of domestic abuse.

This list directly reflects the original chart, it has not been changed to fit the domestic abuse context.

Method	Effect and Purpose	Variants
Isolation	Deprives victim of all social support of their ability to resist. Develops an intense concern with self (this could be home environment) Makes victim dependent.	Complete solitary confinement Complete or partial isolation Group Isolation
Monopolisation of Perception	Fixes attention upon immediate predicament. Eliminates information not in compliance with demands. Punishes independence and /or resistance.	Physical isolation Darkness or Bright light Restricted movement Monotonous Food
Humiliation and Degradation	Makes resistance more 'costly' than compliance. 'Animal Level' concerns.	Personal hygiene prevented Demeaning Punishments Insults and taunts Denial of Privacy
Exhaustion	Weakens mental and physical ability to resist.	Semi-Starvation Sleep deprivation Prolonged interrogation Overexertion
Threats	Creates anxiety and despair Outlines cost of non-compliance	Threats to kill Threats of abandonment/nonreturn Threats against family Vague Threats Mysterious changes of treatment.
Occasional indulgences	Positive motivation for compliance. Hinders adjustment to deprivation	Occasional favours Rewards for partial compliance Promises
Demonstrating Omnipotence	Suggests futility of resistance	Confrontation Showing complete control over victim's face
Forcing trivial demands	Develops habit of compliance	Enforcement of 'rules'

Amnesty International (1994)

Many of these Methods, Effects and Purposes, as well as Variants are self-explanatory and have been previously discussed or alluded to in length under the Power and Control Wheel.

Isolation has been discussed in detail. The so-called "14 days to flatten the curve" quarantine was in essence house arrest and solitary confinement. Certainly, the isolation of our elders and disabled with the ability to even visit amongst themselves was tantamount to torture. The United Nations Committee Against Torture stated that full isolation for 22–23 hours a day in super-maximum-security prisons is unacceptable. The United Nations have also banned the use of **solitary confinement** for longer than 15 days. To this day, when one travels to another country or may have been in contact with a person who tested positive, they must remain in isolation for at least 14 days, which means not leaving one's residence for any reason.

Monopolisation of Perception includes the incessant discussion of COVID-19 with daily briefings by the Premier and Health Team, the Prime Minister and practically non-stop coverage, designed to frighten the masses, so much so that all they seem able to discuss amongst themselves is the dreaded corona virus and depart with the new catch phrase "Keep Safe". Censorship is a huge part of this category with the public not being able to access any information other than what is being spoon-fed from mainstream media and government/health officials. Any mention of any treatments

is swiftly deleted from Twitter, Facebook and YouTube. As mentioned, if a medical professional or government official goes against the narrative, they are summarily disciplined and silenced.

Humiliation and Degradation: The mask and social distance 'Nazis' treat the public as if they are unruly children that need to be reigned in and disciplined. As well, there seems to be an extremely frightening trend where people who are caught without masks (even with exemptions) are being manhandled, beaten and arrested. There are many examples of humiliation and degradation. Going shopping has become an unpleasant experience and getting proper healthcare seems almost impossible.

Exhaustion: Life is so stressful that it is mentally and emotionally exhausting trying to get through the day and very difficult for many to get a good night's sleep with all the anxiety and worry about the future. For some, how they are going to pay the bills and not lose their house is a major stressor. No wonder the suicide rate is so high.

Threats: Society on the whole is living under a barrage of threats from all angles. The threat of the virus. The threat of losing one's livelihood and independence. The threat of fines for noncompliance. The threat of the 'new normal' with no hope of things returning to pre-COVID life. Perhaps the biggest threat is that of mandatory vaccines in the future or no school, no work, no travel, no healthcare and perhaps no access to finances with total control over us by the government.

Occasional Indulgences: Lockdown restrictions have been lifted somewhat and so people are starting to breathe a little easier. People are so grateful that things are lifted (such as being able to go to a restaurant or get a haircut) that they totally ignore the fact that we are still being deprived of our rights and freedoms such as freedom of movement. We still have to follow the arrows and stand on dots.

Demonstrating Omnipotence: WHO, CDC, Dr. Tam, Dr. Fauci, Dr. Birx, Bill Gates and various other Public Health officials are elevating themselves to near god-like status, making all the decisions for basically the whole world. They have all the answers. They cannot be criticized and no contrary advice is to be entertained. They will come up with a vaccine and save the world. As Bill Gates has declared, there will be no return to normal until the whole world is vaccinated.

Forcing Trivial Demands: Compliance and following the rules. That says it all. This is not a free-will choice. It is demanded or there will be severe consequences.

All the above constitute torture and so it is fairly easy to demonstrate that the COVID-19 lockdown measures fall under the definition of torture. As well, Amazing Polly demonstrates in "Your Body is Their Weapon – We're all Patients Now", that to be treated as if we are chronically ill is a form of torture. She refers to an article by Sam Vaknin, "The Body as a Torture Chamber" (Mental Health Matters - <https://mental-health-matters.com/the-body-as-a-torture-chamber/> May 20, 2010) and applies his arguments to what is happening with the pandemic response.

Dr. Vaknin compares the world of a chronically ill patient with their interactions with the medical system to being tortured and their own body is the device of torture. Polly believes that with the pandemic 'they' are capitalizing on the psychology of the doctor/patient relationship and making us all into patients and treating us accordingly. She believes this is another form of torture and should be against the Geneva Convention and any form of decency to treat someone who is not sick as if they are sick.

Some excerpts from the article by Dr. Vaknin:

In a nutshell, the terminally and chronically ill suffer from Complex Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). Their strong feelings of anxiety, guilt, and shame are also typical of victims of childhood abuse, torture, domestic violence, and rape.

Inevitably, in the aftermath of bodily trauma and protracted illness, the victims feel helpless and powerless. This loss of control over one's life and body is manifested physically in impotence, attention deficits, and insomnia. This is often exacerbated by the disbelief many patients encounter when they try to share their experiences, especially if they are unable to produce scars, or other "objective" proof of their ordeal.

Bystanders resent and shun the sick because they make them feel anxious. The ill threaten the healthy person's sense of security and much-needed belief in predictability, justice, and rule of natural law. The patients, on their part, do not believe that it is possible to effectively communicate to "outsiders" what they have been through. The torture chambers known as hospital wards are "another galaxy". This is how Auschwitz was described by the author K. Zetnik in his testimony in the Eichmann trial in Jerusalem in 1961.

Treating us all as if we are patients and asking us to behave as if we are contagious, broken and dangerous even though we are not sick causes our identities to fragment and which places us under at least low-grade stress and even

start to break under extreme psychological manipulation as well as all the other stressors. We are in survival mode and beginning to see each other as dangerous. It is dehumanizing and degrading. They are frightening people into thinking that if they do not behave things will be worse and so they become complicit and participate in the torture. Nothing is either safe or credible. We are dependent on a system that does not make any sense and is very precarious. Chronically ill people have to do what the doctors say because there are no alternatives. No one is offering a cure or even prevention. They are playing with our minds. First it was 15 days, then 30 days, then a little longer, maybe years, just wear a mask. This is the 'new normal'. You can shop at big box stores but not mom and pop shops. They release dangerous prisoners but arrest people for not being compliant with medical tyranny. And these are the same people that hold the keys to our freedom. This will break our minds. Treating healthy people as if they are chronically ill is tantamount to torture.

Another aspect of treating healthy people as if they are ill, they are deathly afraid of actually getting ill. Even a headache will trigger a number of intrusive measures causing extreme stress, and consequently they would be too fearful to mention it or get it checked out even if it becomes troublesome. If, heaven forbid, someone does end up having COVID, numerous people have stated they do not wish to be admitted to hospital or be put on a ventilator, and would rather die at home than in a hospital by themselves. People are terrified of going to Emergency for conditions that do require attention. This is a betrayal of our health care system.

MASK HEALTH RISKS:

With the mandatory mask mandates, the government is forcing people to put their lives at risk. Mask wearing has been demonstrated to decrease oxygen and increase CO2. The symptoms of carbon dioxide poisoning (hypercapnia/hypercarbia) include nausea, vomiting, dizziness, headache, rapid breathing, fast heart rate, and flushing, and severe cases may include confusion, convulsions and **loss of consciousness**, making it extremely dangerous to operate a vehicle while wearing a mask. A young woman recently fainted at work 15 minutes into her shift, and there are **NUMEROUS** complaints of headaches, anxiety and confusion from those who need to wear them on a regular basis. People are not supposed to be breathing in CO2. In fact, CO2 is used to euthanize rodents, etc. If carbon dioxide can kill a rat, can you imagine what harm mask wearing is doing to us?

CO2 (carbon dioxide) is listed as a **TOXIC SUBSTANCE** - item #74 - in the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (1999). Section 245 of the Canadian Criminal Code makes it a **criminal offense** to make someone breathe in CO2.

Case law against Michael's Hospital:

The Science and the Law had already decided in Ontario Canada in 2018 that masks that there is scant scientific evidence concerning asymptomatic transmission and greater harm per the case against Michael's Hospital forcing mandatory mask for nurses refusing to be vaccinated.

MOVING FORWARD:

Having argued and demonstrated using the various tools that we are in fact being tortured by all levels of our government, it is now imperative that **we call on law enforcement to investigate the criminal behaviour** of the Ontario Government as well as local municipalities. All the lockdown measures and mask mandates **MUST** be rescinded immediately and the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the Ontario Human Rights Code honoured.

The State of Emergency ended at the end of July. This order was revoked July 24, 2020 (see 2020, c 17, s17, <https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/200050>). The termination date of the emergency was July 29, 2020). However, the government has retained all extreme and extraordinary measures as if it was still in a state of emergency. In addition, according to Randy Hillier, MPP, Premier Doug Ford will not reveal who is a part of the COVID Command. The agenda, minutes or communications are hidden, and this unelected and unaccountable team is allowed to come up with all kinds of outrageous edicts without debate, discussion or vote. According to documentation on the website 'Stand Up Canada', declarations of emergency in every province failed to meet criteria and are in violation of the Emergency Management and Civil Protections Act (EMCPA) <https://standupcanada.ca/canada-wide-declarations-of-emergency/>

It is apparent that this 'virus' is a part of a global plan with a much bigger agenda. It is obvious that the majority of governments are in 'Lockstep' with each other. For information on this 'plandemic', please view the Plandemic documentaries (found at www.plandemicseries.com).

It is extremely disturbing to view parts of the world that are essentially in a Police State. If this 'lockstep' tyranny progresses as it appears to be, then it will not be long before we find ourselves in a police state in Canada. Quebec is already taking measures that indicate it is headed towards a police state.

WARNING: Should the Police not do their jobs and fail to protect us from our government, then each individual police officer will be held PRIVATELY LIABLE.

The following text is part of a legal document that is ready to be submitted to the courts.

Top officials like the Queen, Presidents or Prime Ministers, NO ONE has proof that corona virus SARS-CoV-2 causes COVID19. Proof requires the gold standard of medical testing called Koch's Postulates that isolate a suspected disease-causing agent. Freedom of information responses confirm there is NO record of isolating coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 anywhere. Instead, there is an abstract digitally created database virus.

The coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 test can only test for a sequence of RNA not a virus. CDC reported 9,200 people died in Canada with COVID19. 9,200 out of 38 million equals .00024% or LESS THAN 1/4 OF 1% comparable to a regular flu season. Not one province met conditions required to declare an emergency.

Without proof of COVID19 nor a reliable test, a regular flu season death rate, and unmet emergency conditions, COVID19 measures are NOT DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED. This means top officials acted PRIVATELY, outside statutory authority, and are privately LIABLE.

As well, no actions by any Lieutenant Governor, Premier, Governor, Attorney General, Solicitor General, top Health Official, Mayor, Health Department, Chief of Police, etc., were DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED. Actions were all fear based without fact-based evidence, illegal, unlawful, and nothing was sworn under oath.

From the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act, section 7.0.2 (1) states: The purpose of making orders under this section is to promote the public good by protecting the health, safety and welfare of the people of Ontario in times of declared emergencies in a manner that is subject to the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

From the CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982, PART I, CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS, Section 52 states: (1) The Constitution of Canada is the supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the provisions of the Constitution is, to the extent of the inconsistency, of no force or effect.

Further: Sections 1 and then 2 of the Constitution Act 1982:

Section 1. The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society.

Section 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms:

- (a) freedom of conscience and religion;
- (b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication;
- (c) freedom of peaceful assembly; and
- (d) freedom of association.

A partial list of freedoms includes:

Section 6 (2) Every citizen of Canada and every person who has the status of a permanent resident of Canada has the right (b) to pursue the gaining of a livelihood in any province.

Section 9. Everyone has the right not to be arbitrarily detained or imprisoned. (like in your own home)

Section 12. Everyone has the right not to be subjected to any cruel and unusual treatment or punishment. (like treating healthy people guilty of being sick, guilty until proven innocent).

Section 26. The guarantee in this Charter of certain rights and freedoms shall not be construed as denying the existence of any other rights or freedoms that exist in Canada. (like being born free)

Section 31. Nothing in this Charter extends the legislative powers of any body or authority. (like the establishment operator's mask NAZI arbitrarily creating his or her own laws through the legislation they created in their head right there and then.)

Regarding the right to the gaining of a livelihood. Who lost income? who lost a livelihood? who lost a business? and who lost a loved one to SUICIDE because of the measures that did not fall within the Charter of Rights and Freedoms?

The Police Services Act reads as follows:

Section 1: Police services shall be provided throughout Ontario in accordance with the following principles:

Subsection 2. The importance of safeguarding the fundamental rights guaranteed by the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the Human Rights Code.

Who was supposed to safeguard our freedoms? THE POLICE. Who had the DUTY? THE POLICE.

Again, the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act, section 7.0.2 (1) states: The purpose of making orders under this section is to promote the public good by protecting the health, safety and welfare of the people of Ontario in times of declared emergencies in a manner that is subject to the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

We would like to bring your attention to a Supreme Court of Canada case: Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959]. It was a landmark constitutional decision of the Supreme Court of Canada where the Court held that Maurice Duplessis, the Premier of Quebec, had overstepped his authority by revoking the liquor licence of a Jehovah's Witness. Justice Ivan Rand wrote in his often-quoted reasons that the unwritten constitutional principle of the "rule of law" meant no public official was above the law and so could neither suspend nor dispense it.

Briefly this ruling also gives remedy for the population to hold Police PRIVATELY LIABLE for acting outside of statutory authority. Each Police Officer was duty-bound to safeguard our freedoms, yet did not. Each Police Officer committed section 80 and 81 violations - MISCONDUCT. Each Police Officer disobeyed sections 1, 80, and 81 of the Police Services Act, and they failed to obey the Constitution Act 1982.

The Criminal Code, section 126 as follows: Disobeying a statute.... section 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years.

How about making people breathe in their own CARBON DIOXIDE, which is listed as a TOXIC SUBSTANCE, item #74 of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, the Police should have been laying charges on anyone forcing the mask wearing as follows:

Section 245 of the criminal code: (1) Every person who administers or causes to be administered to any other person or causes any other person to take poison or any other destructive or noxious thing is guilty (a) of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than 14 years.....

The POLICE - by failing to do their duties acted outside of statutory authority - AND created an EMERGENCY = "emergency" means a situation or an impending situation that constitutes a danger of major proportions that could result in serious harm to persons or substantial damage to property and that is caused by the forces of nature, a disease or other health risk, an accident or an act whether intentional or otherwise;

Each Police Officer committed misconduct and committed criminal code offenses by failing to safeguard the Fundamental Rights and Freedoms and the Human Rights Code.

If you are a Police Officer and want to be seen as an HONEST POLICE OFFICER from this point on.... the principle of the "rule of law" meant no public official was above the law and so could neither suspend nor dispense it. Also, section 15 of the Charter states that there is equality of the law and equal benefit of the law. HONEST POLICE OFFICERS, you have the duty to detain, question, and even arrest your fellow and superior officers. If you don't, people will hold you privately liable, and the economic damages in North America is likely in the trillions of dollars, billions for sure, in Canada. There are people who are ready to hold you PRIVATELY LIABLE and even CITIZEN'S ARREST you.

Final thoughts: From the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act, the definition of "emergency" is what the POLICE have allowed to actually happen - we are now in an emergency due to the DECLARED EMERGENCY...."emergency" means a situation or an impending situation that constitutes a danger of major proportions that could result in serious harm to persons or substantial damage to property and that is caused by the forces of nature, a disease or other health risk, an accident or an act whether intentional or otherwise.

Let this be clear to POLICE - Sections 7.0.1 (3) 1 i, and ii, and iii of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act was not "DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED". All measures were not in a manner that was subject to the Charter and it was your DUTY to SAFEGUARD - and you did not.

The Declarations of Emergency were very likely a "simulation and training exercise". The Global Preparedness and Monitoring Board had stated the following Progress Indicators by September 2020 as follows: The United Nations (including WHO) conducts at least two system-wide training and simulation exercises, including one for covering the deliberate release of a lethal respiratory pathogen.

As well, the Government of Canada website only had 1 death and 1 case up to March 17, 2020 - neither of them sworn information under oath. One death and one case is not a PANDEMIC - it had to have been a training and simulation exercise.

Almost everybody is aware of empty hospitals and test centers, with videos proving the emptiness taken down. Many know about fraudulent death certificates, and you are pointed to section 128 of the criminal code for that. Start questioning CORONERS.

Another atrocity includes obstructing and preventing religious services, covered in section 176 of the Criminal Code.


Many Police Officers do not know who has the duty to safeguard our fundamental rights and freedoms especially when there were no DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED orders. It is written in the Police Services Act that the Police have to safeguard the Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the Human Rights Code.

Police Officers have said that anyone who goes against the system will have their career shot. Are the Police Officers acting in good faith by not enforcing the law? NO, not at all, and they are PRIVATELY LIABLE for this lack of good faith as it is acting outside of statutory duties.

The RCMP is also responsible for crimes against the laws of Canada being committed. Currently there is a "crime in progress" with the measures of lockdown. These measures disobey several statutes, namely, The Constitution Act 1982, the Police Services Act, and especially the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act, causing every Police Officer to commit MISCONDUCT as well as Criminal Code Offences - violations in the Police Services Act as well as Criminal Code violations by Police Officers.

Police Officers have stated "I'd love to speak out, but I can't, I'd lose my job". If they whistle-blow the system, the system is going to find a way to punish them. They'll get an unwanted desk job or a job they will hate in order to make them quit. Police Officers and other Peace Officers are afraid to speak up because they are "in the system" (basically a career-ending move). At what point do you speak out? When your freedoms are all gone? When people are getting hurt like in the US?" Police Officers don't trust the RCMP and have flatly stated that the RCMP is really corrupt; they mention that there is a cover-up in the Nova Scotia murders. "There is no reasonable information and they are not telling us what they know."

HONEST POLICE OFFICERS, you are encouraged to do your jobs and take out your colleagues using the criminal code to reset to HONESTY, INTEGRITY and FREEDOM. However, if you fail to protect us from this point on, you will be held CRIMINALLY and PRIVATELY LIABLE.



Torture

Torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment thrive behind closed doors. It must stop, and those responsible for authorizing and implementing it must be held accountable.

The UN Convention Against Torture defines torture as "...the intentional infliction of severe physical or mental pain or suffering for purposes such as obtaining information or a confession, or punishing, intimidating or coercing someone." Torture is always illegal. "No exceptional circumstances whatsoever, whether a state of war or a threat of war, internal political instability or any other public emergency, may be invoked as a justification of torture."

Abuse of prisoners doesn't have to be torture to be illegal. Cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment (CID) is also illegal under international and Canadian law. CID includes any harsh or neglectful treatment that could damage a detainee's physical or mental health or any punishment intended to cause physical or mental pain or suffering, or to humiliate or degrade the person being punished.

While it is sometimes difficult to distinguish between torture and CID, there are two key differences. First, torture constitutes a more severe degree of pain or suffering. Second, torture is the result of a deliberate and purposeful act aimed at imposing great suffering, while CID could be the result of accident or neglect. Both torture and CID are illegal.

Amnesty International has campaigned against torture and CID for decades. Our campaigning helped lead to the Convention Against Torture and its Optional Protocol, and it has helped lead to most UN member states joining the Convention. We take action in support of those who have and those who continue to experience torture and CID. And we won't stop. We won't stop until torture is stopped.

<https://www.amnesty.ca/our-work/issues/torture>

A WORLD AT RISK

**Annual report on global preparedness
for health emergencies**

Global Preparedness Monitoring Board

September 2019



 **GPMB**
GLOBAL PREPAREDNESS MONITORING BOARD



The United Nations must strengthen coordination mechanisms.

The Secretary-General of the United Nations, with WHO and United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), must strengthen coordination in different country, health and humanitarian emergency contexts, by ensuring clear United Nations systemwide roles and responsibilities; rapidly resetting preparedness and response strategies during health emergencies; and, enhancing United Nations system leadership for preparedness, including through routine simulation exercises. WHO should introduce an approach to mobilize the wider national, regional and international community at earlier stages of an outbreak, prior to a declaration of an IHR (2005) Public Health Emergency of International Concern.

Progress indicator(s) by September 2020

- The Secretary-General of the United Nations, with the Director-General of WHO and Under-Secretary-General for Humanitarian Affairs strengthens coordination and identifies clear roles and responsibilities and timely triggers for a coordinated United Nations systemwide response for health emergencies in different countries and different health and humanitarian emergency contexts.
- The United Nations (including WHO) conducts at least two system-wide training and simulation exercises, including one for covering the deliberate release of a lethal respiratory pathogen.
- WHO develops intermediate triggers to mobilize national, international and multilateral action early in outbreaks, to complement existing mechanisms for later and more advanced stages of an outbreak under the IHR (2005).
- The Secretary General of the United Nations convenes a high-level dialogue with health, security and foreign affairs officials to determine how the world can address the threat of a lethal respiratory pathogen pandemic, as well as for managing preparedness for disease outbreaks in complex, insecure contexts.

As an independent monitoring and advocacy body, the Global Preparedness Monitoring Board (GPMB) urges political action to prepare for and mitigate the effects of global health emergencies. Co-convened in May 2018 by the World Bank Group and the World Health Organization, the Board builds on the work of the Global Health Crises Task Force and Panel, created by the United Nations Secretary-General in the wake of the 2014-2016 Ebola epidemic. The Board works independently of all parties, including its co-conveners, to provide the most frank assessments and recommendations possible. The findings, interpretations, conclusions and opinions expressed in this report and by Board members represent their views only and not those of their organizations or of the co-conveners.



Contact information

Global Preparedness Monitoring Board Secretariat
c/o World Health Organization
20, Avenue Appia
1211 Geneva 27
Switzerland
gpmbssecretariat@who.int
www.who.int/GPMB



**World Health
Organization**



WORLD BANK GROUP

Co convened by the World Health Organization and the World Bank Group

© World Health Organization (acting as the host organization for the Global Preparedness Monitoring Board) 2019.
Some rights reserved. This work is available under the CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO licence.

ISBN 978-92-4-151702-7



RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT

- 1) GPMB (Global Preparedness and Monitoring Board) simulation information - page 18 above
- 2) EMACPA section 7.0.2 (1) & (3) - measures to follow the charter, but are not.
- 3) Violations of the Charter & EMACPA 7.0.2 (1) - disobeying a statute - cc126.
 - a) Emergency orders not DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice. Prove what you are declaring - swearing under oath.
 - b) Right to the gaining of a livelihood (section 6. 2. (b) of the Charter)
 - c) Forcing the population to breathe in a toxic substance - CO2 - Schedule 1, item #74 of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999. (violates section 245 Criminal Code)
 - d) Criminal code violation to force anyone to breath in a toxic substance. (section 245)
 - e) Gatherings - churches and all others. (Charter section 2 violation, cc section 176)
 - f) Death certificates - fraudulent reporting - criminal code violations (section 128)
 - g) So called infection numbers and deaths are not sworn under oath and presented by an appropriately skilled source. The numbers are INVALID.
 - h) PCR test is not a valid test. Therefore the numbers are totally invalid.
 - i) Alternative media reports of an alternative narrative have experienced deletion of Youtube and other channels.

Violates freedom of the press, freedom of expression, free speech, security of the person, loss of liberty, etc. (Charter sections 2, 6, 7, etc)
 - j) CARBON DIOXIDE is a EUTHANIZING AGENT used to kill animals. The masks are a CO2 retention chamber, and children in particular are slowing down how they move and behave - just as rats do when exposed to CARBON DIOXIDE. This is reckless endangerment of children, elderly and all others. Criminal code section 218, 219, 245, etc. Child abuse, elder abuse, healthy people abuse.
 - k) Elderly are silently affected in an end of life situation without loved ones- CRUELTY s12 Charter.
 - l) CARBON DIOXIDE POISONING details.

You are only hurting yourself wearing the mask. You are re-breathing in your own waste, you are making particles of bacteria moistened inside of the mask, that you are now inhaling into your sinuses and getting into and behind your eyes, getting into your ears, into your throat, giving yourself strep infection, staph infection, and other problems . You are breathing in cloth particles, bleach particles, food coloring or whatever dyes they used, formaldehyde. You are harming your lungs by re-breathing your own carbon dioxide, you are decreasing your oxygen saturation levels, you won't be able to think very clear. There is nothing floating through the air killing people, there are no people die-ing on the street and we don't have any numbers to match the lie-ing numbers on the television.

Babies seeing mom or dad in a mask - how is that good for a baby? With children, on top of all just mentioned, a child cannot get a cue on the mothers or fathers emotions. This is severe child reckless endangerment.

The second you touch your mask, it should be replaced.

Can't mourn the dead, or care properly for loved ones. Suicide is up significantly.

Your body makes particles when you are healing. They are not contagious.
 - m) VIRUS never isolated and sworn under oath.
 - n) False media stories about hospitals and test centers overloaded. Numerous videos showing the false stories removed by YouTube and others. HIDDEN AGENDA needs to be investigated and stopped immediately.
 - o) CAMPS - Police are required to take notice and detain and question to get to the bottom of this. CAMPS were involved in ALDOLF HITLER times and must be taken seriously as well as shut down permanently. Treat the CAMPS issue as if there is a CRIME ABOUT TO BE COMMITTED. Start with the Lieutenant Governor and the Premier.

- p) Vaccines cause impaired blood flow causing micro vascular strokes. Damages are additive.
- q) 5G communication frequencies affect oxygen bonding - risking health and life. The original symptoms in early 2020 were that of an oxygen deprivation issue. 5G frequencies may have been responsible for that as people who were sick were in areas that had 5G. De-oxygenation of hemoglobin.
- r) TORTURE - the measures have all the elements of TORTURE, which is a criminal code offense 269.1. No defense for TORTURE even in a public emergency.
- s) The QUEEN is absent while laws are being violated.

4) Police duties:

Police Services Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.15

Declaration of principles

- 1 Police services shall be provided throughout Ontario in accordance with the following principles:
 2. The importance of **safeguarding the fundamental rights guaranteed by the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* and the *Human Rights Code*.**

Duties of police officer

- 42 (1)** The duties of a police officer include,
- (a) preserving the peace;
 - (b) preventing crimes and other offences and providing assistance and encouragement to other persons in their prevention;
 - (c) assisting victims of crime;
 - (d) apprehending criminals and other offenders and others who may lawfully be taken into custody;
 - (e) laying charges and participating in prosecutions;
 - (f) executing warrants that are to be executed by police officers and performing related duties;
 - (g) performing the lawful duties that the chief of police assigns;
 - (h) in the case of a municipal police force and in the case of an agreement under section 10 (agreement for provision of police services by O.P.P.), enforcing municipal by-laws;
 - (i) completing the prescribed training. R.S.O. 1990, c. P.15, s. 42 (1); 1997, c. 8, s. 28.

Power to act throughout Ontario

- (2) A police officer has authority to act as such throughout Ontario.

Powers and duties of common law constable

- (3) A police officer has the powers and duties ascribed to a constable at common law. R.S.O. 1990, c. P.15, s. 42 (2, 3).

5) Actual signed DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY.:

ET ATTENDU QU'il a été satisfait aux critères énoncés au paragraphe 7.0.1 (3) de la *Loi sur la protection civile et la gestion des situations d'urgence*, L.R.O. 1990, chap. E.9 (la « Loi »);

PAR CONSÉQUENT la situation d'urgence est par la présente déclarée en vertu de l'article 7.0.1 de la Loi pour l'ensemble de l'Ontario.



Recommended: Premier and President of the Council
Recommandé par: Le premier ministre et président du Conseil



Concurred: Chair of Cabinet
Appuyé par: Le président/la présidente du Conseil des ministres,

Approved and Ordered: MAR 17 2020, 7:30 am
Approuvé et décrété le:



Lieutenant Governor
La lieutenant-gouverneure



Ontario

Executive Council of Ontario
Order in Council

Conseil exécutif de l'Ontario
Décret

On the recommendation of the undersigned, the Lieutenant Governor of Ontario, by and with the advice and concurrence of the Executive Council of Ontario, orders that:
Sur la recommandation de la personne soussignée, la lieutenant-gouverneure de l'Ontario, sur l'avis et avec le consentement du Conseil exécutif de l'Ontario, décrète ce qui suit:

Declaration of Emergency under the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act

WHEREAS the outbreak of a communicable disease namely COVID-19 coronavirus disease constitutes a danger of major proportions that could result in serious harm to persons;

AND WHEREAS the criteria set out in subsection 7.0.1(3) of the *Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act*, R.S.O. 1990, chapter E.9 (the "Act") have been satisfied;

NOW THEREFORE, an emergency is hereby declared pursuant to section 7.0.1 of the Act in the whole of the Province of Ontario.

Déclaration de la situation d'urgence en vertu de la Loi sur la protection civile et la gestion des situations d'urgence

ATTENDU qu'une épidémie de maladie transmissible, à savoir la maladie à coronavirus ou COVID-19, constitue une situation dangereuse à un point tel qu'elle risquerait de causer un grave préjudice à des personnes;

O.C./Décret: 518/2020



Order in Council
Décret

On the recommendation of the undersigned, the Lieutenant Governor, by and with the advice and concurrence of the Executive Council, orders that:

the appended Regulation be made under the *Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act*.

Sur la recommandation de la personne soussignée, la lieutenant-gouverneure, sur l'avis et avec le consentement du Conseil exécutif, décrète ce qui suit :

Le règlement ci-annexé est pris en vertu de la *Loi sur la protection civile et la gestion des situations d'urgence*.

Recommandé par :


Recommended

Appuyé par : Le président du Conseil des ministres


Concurred
Chair of Cabinet

Approuvé et décrété le

La lieutenant-gouverneure,

MAR 30 2020, 6⁰⁰ PM
Date and Time


Lieutenant Governor

R.O.C./Décret (R)

84/2020

[Bilingual]

CONFIDENTIAL

Until made

REG2020.0202.e

7

ONTARIO REGULATION

made under the

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AND CIVIL PROTECTION ACT

ORDER MADE UNDER THE ACT - EXTENSION OF EMERGENCY

Whereas an emergency was declared pursuant to Order in Council 518/2020 (Ontario Regulation 50/20) on March 17, 2020 at 7:30 a.m. Toronto time pursuant to section 7.0.1 of the *Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act* (the "Act");

And Whereas under subsection 7.0.7 (1) of the Act the emergency terminates at the end of March 31, 2020 unless extended;

And Whereas the emergency may be extended by order of the Lieutenant Governor in Council under subsection 7.0.7 (2) of the Act;

Now Therefore, the emergency is extended past the end of March 31, 2020 for a period of 14 days.

6) Parents stories, other stories:

- a) University aged female dropped to the ground without warning within 15 minutes of being on the job with a mask - October 1, 2020. HOME DEPOT.
- b) Children on school buses are docile from wearing a mask and following emergency measures. The life energy has been removed from them. Similarly in classrooms.
- c) MEMORIAL SCHOOL incident – child under 8 hospitalized due to infections, and other issues regarding the mask.
- d) Clogged mask - with blood.
- e) Children fearing
- f) Babies unable to read facial cues of the parent.



7) REFERENCE - the laws:

Police Services Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.15 Current as of April 14, 2020

Declaration of principles:

1 Police services shall be provided throughout Ontario in accordance with the following principles:

1. The need to ensure the safety and security of all persons and property in Ontario.
2. **The importance of safeguarding the fundamental rights guaranteed by the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the Human Rights Code.**

Duties of a Police Officer:

42 (1) The duties of a police officer include,

- (a) preserving the peace;
- (b) preventing crimes and other offences and providing assistance and encouragement to other persons in their prevention;
- (c) assisting victims of crime;
- (d) apprehending criminals & other offenders & others who may lawfully be taken into custody;
- (e) laying charges and participating in prosecutions;

(2) A police officer has authority to act as such throughout Ontario.

(3) A police officer has the powers and duties ascribed to a constable at common law.

Misconduct

80 (1) A police officer is guilty of misconduct if he or she,

- (a) commits an offence described in a prescribed code of conduct;
- (b) contravenes section 46 (political activity);
- (c) engages in an activity that contravenes subsection 49 (1) (secondary activities) without the permission of his or her chief of police or, in the case of a municipal chief of police, without the permission of the board, being aware that the activity may contravene that subsection;
- (d) contravenes subsection 55 (5) (resignation during emergency);
- (e) commits an offence described in subsection 79 (1) or (2) (offences, complaints);
- (f) contravenes section 81 (inducing misconduct, withholding services);
- (g) contravenes section 117 (trade union membership);
- (h) deals with personal property, other than money or a firearm, in a manner that is not consistent with section 132;
- (i) deals with money in a manner that is not consistent with section 133;
- (j) deals with a firearm in a manner that is not consistent with section 134;
- (k) contravenes a regulation made under paragraph 15 (equipment), 16 (use of force), 17 (standards of dress, police uniforms), 20 (police pursuits) or 21 (records) of subsection 135 (1). 2007, c. 5, s. 10.

Off-duty conduct

(2) A police officer shall not be found guilty of misconduct under subsection (1) if there is no connection between the conduct and either the occupational requirements for a police officer or the reputation of the police force. 2007, c. 5, s. 10.

Inducing misconduct and withholding services

Inducing misconduct

81 (1) No person shall,

- (a) induce or attempt to induce a member of a police force to withhold his or her services; or
- (b) induce or attempt to induce a police officer to commit misconduct. 2007, c. 5, s. 10.

Withholding services

(2) No member of a police force shall withhold his or her services. 2007, c. 5, s. 10.

Offence

(3) A person who contravenes subsection (1) or (2) is guilty of an offence and on conviction is liable to a fine of not more than \$2,000 or to imprisonment for a term of not more than one year, or to both. 2007, c. 5, s. 10.

Constitution Act 1867

II. UNION

Marginal note:Declaration of Union

3. It shall be lawful for the Queen, by and with the Advice of Her Majesty's Most Honourable Privy Council, to declare by Proclamation that, on and after a Day therein appointed, not being more than Six Months after the passing of this Act, the Provinces of Canada, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick shall form and be One Dominion under the Name of Canada; and on and after that Day those Three Provinces shall form and be One Dominion under that Name accordingly. (4)

III. EXECUTIVE POWER

Marginal note:Declaration of Executive Power in the Queen

9. The Executive Government and Authority of and over Canada is hereby declared to continue and be vested in the Queen.

Command of Armed Forces to continue to be vested in the Queen

15. The Command-in-Chief of the Land and Naval Militia, and of all Naval and Military Forces, of and in Canada, is hereby declared to continue and be vested in the Queen.

Marginal note:Seat of Government of Canada

16. Until the Queen otherwise directs, the Seat of Government of Canada shall be Ottawa.

Canadian Constitution Act 1982

Rights and freedoms in Canada

1. The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be **demonstrably justified** in a free and democratic society.

Fundamental freedoms

2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms:

- (a) freedom of conscience and religion;
- (b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication;
- (c) freedom of peaceful assembly; and
- (d) freedom of association.

Mobility of citizens

6. (1) Every citizen of Canada has the right to enter, remain in and leave Canada.

Rights to move and gain livelihood

(2) Every citizen of Canada and every person who has the status of a permanent resident of Canada has the right

- (a) to move to and take up residence in any province; and
- (b) **to pursue the gaining of a livelihood in any province.**

Legal Rights

7. Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of the person and the right not to be deprived thereof except in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice.

8. Everyone has the right to be secure against unreasonable search or seizure.

9. Everyone has the right not to be arbitrarily detained or imprisoned.

12. Everyone has the right not to be subjected to any cruel and unusual treatment or punishment.

Equality Rights

15. (1) Every individual is equal before and under the law and has the right to the equal protection and equal benefit of the law without discrimination and, in particular, without discrimination based on race, national or ethnic origin, colour, religion, sex, age or mental or physical disability.

Other rights and freedoms not affected by Charter

26. The guarantee in this Charter of certain rights and freedoms shall not be construed as denying the existence of any other rights or freedoms that exist in Canada

Legislative powers not extended

31. Nothing in this Charter extends the legislative powers of any body or authority.

Primacy of Constitution of Canada

52. (1) The Constitution of Canada is the supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the provisions of the Constitution is, to the extent of the inconsistency, of no force or effect.

Constitution of Canada

(2) The Constitution of Canada includes

- (a) the Canada Act 1982, including this Act;
- (b) the Acts and orders referred to in the schedule; and
- (c) any amendment to any Act or order referred to in paragraph (a) or (b).

Amendments to Constitution of Canada

(3) Amendments to the Constitution of Canada shall be made only in accordance with the authority contained in the Constitution of Canada.

Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. E.9

"**emergency**" means a situation or an impending situation **that constitutes a danger of major proportions** that could result in serious harm to persons or substantial damage to property and that is caused by the forces of nature, a disease or other health risk, an accident or an act whether intentional or otherwise; ("situation d'urgence")

7.0.2 (1) The purpose of making orders under this section is to promote the public good by protecting the health, safety and welfare of the people of Ontario in times of declared emergencies **in a manner that is subject to the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms**. 2006, c. 13, s. 1 (4).

(3) Orders made under this section are subject to the following limitations:

1. The actions authorized by an order shall be exercised in a manner which, consistent with the objectives of the order, **limits their intrusiveness**.
2. An order shall only apply to the areas of the Province where it is necessary.
3. Subject to section 7.0.8, an order shall be effective only for **as long as is necessary**.

Criteria for declaration

7.0.1 (3) An order declaring that an emergency exists throughout Ontario or any part of it may be made under this section if, in the opinion of the Lieutenant Governor in Council or the Premier, as the case may be, the following criteria are satisfied:

1. There is an emergency that requires immediate action to prevent, reduce or mitigate a danger of major proportions that could result in serious harm to persons or substantial damage to property.

2. One of the following circumstances exists:

- i. The resources normally available to a ministry of the Government of Ontario or an agency, board or commission or other branch of the government, including existing legislation, cannot be relied upon without the risk of serious delay.
- ii. The resources referred to in subparagraph i may be insufficiently effective to address the emergency.
- iii. It is not possible, without the risk of serious delay, to ascertain whether the resources referred to in subparagraph i can be relied upon. 2006, c. 13, s. 1 (4).

Criminal Code of Canada

Ignorance of the law

19 Ignorance of the law by a person who commits an offence is not an excuse for committing that offence.

Parties to offence

21 (1) Every one is a party to an offence who

- (a) actually commits it;
- (b) does or omits to do anything for the purpose of aiding any person to commit it; or
- (c) abets any person in committing it.

terrorist activity means

83.01 (1) (b) an act or omission, in or outside Canada,

(i) that is committed

- (A) in whole or in part for a political, religious or ideological purpose, objective or cause, and
- (B) in whole or in part with the intention of intimidating the public, or a segment of the public, with regard to its security, including its economic security, or compelling a person, a government or a domestic or an international organization to do or to refrain from doing any act, whether the public or the person, government or organization is inside or outside Canada, and

(ii) that intentionally

- (A) causes death or serious bodily harm to a person by the use of violence,
 - (B) endangers a person's life,
 - (C) causes a serious risk to the health or safety of the public or any segment of the public,
 - (D) causes substantial property damage, whether to public or private property, if causing such damage is likely to result in the conduct or harm referred to in any of clauses (A) to (C),
- or
- (E) causes serious interference with or serious disruption of an essential service, facility or system, whether public or private, other than as a result of advocacy, protest, dissent or stoppage of work that is not intended to result in the conduct or harm referred to in any of clauses (A) to (C),

and includes a conspiracy, attempt or threat to commit any such act or omission, or being an accessory after the fact or counselling in relation to any such act or omission, but, for greater certainty, does not include an act or omission that is committed during an armed conflict and that, at the time and in the place of its commission, is in accordance with customary international law or conventional international law applicable to the conflict, or the activities undertaken by military forces of a state in the exercise of their official duties, to the extent that those activities are governed by other rules of international law.

Hoax Regarding Terrorist Activity

Marginal note:Hoax — terrorist activity

83.231 (1) Every one commits an offence who, without lawful excuse and with intent to cause any person to fear death, bodily harm, substantial damage to property or serious interference with the lawful use or operation of property,

(a) conveys or causes or procures to be conveyed information that, in all the circumstances, is likely to cause a reasonable apprehension that terrorist activity is occurring or will occur, without believing the information to be true; or

(b) commits an act that, in all the circumstances, is likely to cause a reasonable apprehension that terrorist activity is occurring or will occur, without believing that such activity is occurring or will occur.

Marginal note:Causing death

(4) Every one who commits an offence under subsection (1) and thereby causes the death of any other person is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for life.

Frauds on the government

121 (1) Every one commits an offence who

(a) directly or indirectly

(i) gives, offers or agrees to give or offer to an official or to any member of his family, or to any one for the benefit of an official, or

(ii) being an official, demands, accepts or offers or agrees to accept from any person for himself or another person, a loan, reward, advantage or benefit of any kind as consideration for cooperation, assistance, exercise of influence or an act or omission in connection with

(iii) the transaction of business with or any matter of business relating to the government, or

(iv) a claim against Her Majesty or any benefit that Her Majesty is authorized or is entitled to bestow, whether or not, in fact, the official is able to cooperate, render assistance, exercise influence or do or omit to do what is proposed, as the case may be;

(b) having dealings of any kind with the government, directly or indirectly pays a commission or reward to or confers an advantage or benefit of any kind on an employee or official of the government with which the dealings take place, or to any member of the employee's or official's family, or to anyone for the benefit of the employee or official, with respect to those dealings, unless the person has the consent in writing of the head of the branch of government with which the dealings take place;

(c) being an official or employee of the government, directly or indirectly demands, accepts or offers or agrees to accept from a person who has dealings with the government a commission, reward, advantage or benefit of any kind for themselves or another person, unless they have the consent in writing of the head of the branch of government that employs them or of which they are an official;

(d) having or pretending to have influence with the government or with a minister of the government or an official, directly or indirectly demands, accepts or offers or agrees to accept, for themselves or another person, a reward, advantage or benefit of any kind as consideration for cooperation, assistance, exercise of influence or an act or omission in connection with

(i) anything mentioned in subparagraph (a)(iii) or (iv), or

(ii) the appointment of any person, including themselves, to an office;

(e) directly or indirectly gives or offers, or agrees to give or offer, to a minister of the government or an official, or to anyone for the benefit of a minister or an official, a reward, advantage or benefit of any kind as consideration for cooperation, assistance, exercise of influence, or an act or omission, by that minister or official, in connection with

(i) anything mentioned in subparagraph (a)(iii) or (iv), or

(ii) the appointment of any person, including themselves, to an office; or

- (f) having made a tender to obtain a contract with the government,
- (i) directly or indirectly gives or offers, or agrees to give or offer, to another person who has made a tender, to a member of that person's family or to another person for the benefit of that person, a reward, advantage or benefit of any kind as consideration for the withdrawal of the tender of that person, or
- (ii) directly or indirectly demands, accepts or offers or agrees to accept from another person who has made a tender a reward, advantage or benefit of any kind for themselves or another person as consideration for the withdrawal of their own tender.

Contractor subscribing to election fund

(2) Every one commits an offence who, in order to obtain or retain a contract with the government, or as a term of any such contract, whether express or implied, directly or indirectly subscribes or gives, or agrees to subscribe or give, to any person any valuable consideration

(a) for the purpose of promoting the election of a candidate or a class or party of candidates to Parliament or the legislature of a province; or

(b) with intent to influence or affect in any way the result of an election conducted for the purpose of electing persons to serve in Parliament or the legislature of a province.

Punishment

(3) Every person who commits an offence under this section is guilty of

(a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than five years; or

(b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Breach of trust by public officer

122 Every official who, in connection with the duties of their office, commits fraud or a breach of trust, whether or not the fraud or breach of trust would be an offence if it were committed in relation to a private person, is guilty of

(a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than five years; or

(b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Disobeying a statute

126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids **or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done** is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

(a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or

(b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Misconduct of officers executing process

128 Every peace officer or **coroner** is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years or is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction who, being entrusted with the execution of a process, intentionally

(a) misconducts himself in the execution of the process, or

(b) makes a false return to the process.

Personating peace officer

130 (1) Everyone commits an offence who

(a) falsely represents himself to be a peace officer or a public officer; or

(b) not being a peace officer or public officer, uses a badge or article of uniform or equipment in a manner that is likely to cause persons to believe that he is a peace officer or a public officer, as the case may be.

Punishment

(2) Everyone who commits an offence under subsection (1)

(a) is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than five years; or

(b) is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Obstructing or violence to or arrest of officiating clergyman

176 (1) Every person is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years or is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction who
(a) by threats or force, unlawfully obstructs or prevents or endeavours to obstruct or prevent an officiant from celebrating a religious or spiritual service or performing any other function in connection with their calling, or

(b) knowing that an officiant is about to perform, is on their way to perform or is returning from the performance of any of the duties or functions mentioned in paragraph (a)

(i) assaults or offers any violence to them, or

(ii) arrests them on a civil process, or under the pretence of executing a civil process.

Disturbing religious worship or certain meetings

(2) **Every one who wilfully disturbs or interrupts an assemblage of persons met for religious worship or for a moral, social or benevolent purpose is guilty of an offence** punishable on summary conviction.

Idem

(3) Every one who, at or near a meeting referred to in subsection (2), wilfully does anything that disturbs the order or solemnity of the meeting is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Common nuisance

180 (1) Every person is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years or is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction who commits a common nuisance and by doing so

(a) **endangers the lives, safety or health of the public,** or

(b) **causes physical injury to any person.**

Definition

(2) For the purposes of this section, **every one commits a common nuisance who does an unlawful act or fails to discharge a legal duty and thereby**

(a) endangers the lives, safety, health, property or comfort of the public; or

(b) obstructs the public in the exercise or enjoyment of any right that is common to all the subjects of Her Majesty in Canada.

Reckless Endangerment:

218 Every one who unlawfully abandons or **exposes a child who is under the age of ten years, so that its life is or is likely to be endangered or its health is or is likely to be permanently injured,**

(a) is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding five years; or

(b) is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Criminal negligence

219 (1) Every one is criminally negligent who

(a) in doing anything, or

(b) in omitting to do anything that it is his duty to do,

shows wanton or reckless disregard for the lives or safety of other persons.

Definition of duty

(2) For the purposes of this section, duty means a duty imposed by law.

Administering noxious thing

245 (1) Every person who administers or causes to be administered to any other person or

causes any other person to take poison or any other destructive or noxious thing is guilty

(a) of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than 14 years, if

they did so with intent to endanger the life of or to cause bodily harm to that person; or
(b) of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years or of an offence punishable on summary conviction, if they did so with intent to aggrieve or annoy that person.

Exemption

(2) Subsection (1) does not apply to

(a) a medical practitioner or nurse practitioner who provides medical assistance in dying in accordance with section 241.2; and

(b) a person who does anything for the purpose of aiding a medical practitioner or nurse practitioner to provide medical assistance in dying in accordance with section 241.2.

Definitions

(3) In subsection (2), medical *assistance* in dying, medical practitioner and nurse practitioner have the same meanings as in section 241.1.

Unlawfully causing bodily harm

269 Every one who unlawfully causes bodily harm to any person is guilty of

(a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding ten years; or

(b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Torture

269.1 (1) Every official, or every person acting at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of an official, who inflicts torture on any other person is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding fourteen years.

Marginal note:Definitions

(2) For the purposes of this section, official means

(a) a peace officer,

(b) a public officer,

(c) a member of the Canadian Forces, or

(d) any person who may exercise powers, pursuant to a law in force in a foreign state, that would, in Canada, be exercised by a person referred to in paragraph (a), (b), or (c), whether the person exercises powers in Canada or outside Canada;(fonctionnaire)

torture means any act or omission by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person

(a) for a purpose including

(i) obtaining from the person or from a third person information or a statement,

(ii) punishing the person for an act that the person or a third person has committed or is suspected of having committed, and

(iii) intimidating or coercing the person or a third person, or

(b) for any reason based on discrimination of any kind,

but does not include any act or omission arising only from, inherent in or incidental to lawful sanctions.(torture)

Marginal note:**No defence**

(3) It is no defence to a charge under this section that the accused was ordered by a superior or a public authority to perform the act or omission that forms the subject-matter of the charge or that the act or omission is alleged to have been justified by exceptional circumstances, including a state of war, a threat of war, internal political instability or any other public emergency.

Criminal breach of trust

336 Every one who, being a trustee of anything for the use or benefit, whether in whole or in part, of another person, or for a public or charitable purpose, converts, with intent to defraud and in contravention of his trust, that thing or any part of it to a use that is not authorized by the

trust is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding fourteen years.

Extortion

346 (1) Every one commits extortion who, without reasonable justification or excuse and with intent to obtain anything, by threats, accusations, menaces or violence induces or attempts to induce any person, whether or not he is the person threatened, accused or menaced or to whom violence is shown, to do anything or cause anything to be done.

(1.1) Every person who commits extortion is guilty of an indictable offence and liable

(a) if a restricted firearm or prohibited firearm is used in the commission of the offence or if any firearm is used in the commission of the offence and the offence is committed for the benefit of, at the direction of, or in association with, a criminal organization, to imprisonment for life and to a minimum punishment of imprisonment for a term of

(i) in the case of a first offence, five years, and

(ii) in the case of a second or subsequent offence, seven years;

(a.1) in any other case where a firearm is used in the commission of the offence, to imprisonment for life and to a minimum punishment of imprisonment for a term of four years; and

(b) in any other case, to imprisonment for life.

Subsequent offences

(1.2) In determining, for the purpose of paragraph (1.1)(a), whether a convicted person has committed a second or subsequent offence, if the person was earlier convicted of any of the following offences, that offence is to be considered as an earlier offence:

(a) an offence under this section;

(b) an offence under subsection 85(1) or (2) or section 244 or 244.2; or

(c) an offence under section 220, 236, 239, 272 or 273, subsection 279(1) or section 279.1 or 344 if a firearm was used in the commission of the offence.

However, an earlier offence shall not be taken into account if 10 years have elapsed between the day on which the person was convicted of the earlier offence and the day on which the person was convicted of the offence for which sentence is being imposed, not taking into account any time in custody.

Sequence of convictions only

(1.3) For the purposes of subsection (1.2), the only question to be considered is the sequence of convictions and no consideration shall be given to the sequence of commission of offences or whether any offence occurred before or after any conviction.

Saving

(2) A threat to institute civil proceedings is not a threat for the purposes of this section.

False pretence

361 (1) A false pretence is a representation of a matter of fact either present or past, made by words or otherwise, that is known by the person who makes it to be false and that is made with a fraudulent intent to induce the person to whom it is made to act on it.

Exaggeration

(2) Exaggerated commendation or depreciation of the quality of anything is not a false pretence unless it is carried to such an extent that it amounts to a fraudulent misrepresentation of fact.

Question of fact

(3) For the purposes of subsection (2), it is a question of fact whether commendation or depreciation amounts to a fraudulent misrepresentation of fact.

Fraud

380 (1) Every one who, by deceit, falsehood or other fraudulent means, whether or not it is a false pretence within the meaning of this Act, defrauds the public or any person, whether ascertained or not, of any property, money or valuable security or any service,

(a) is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to a term of imprisonment not exceeding fourteen years, where the subject-matter of the offence is a testamentary instrument or the value of the subject-matter of the offence exceeds five thousand dollars; or

(b) is guilty

(i) of an indictable offence and is liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years, or

(ii) of an offence punishable on summary conviction,

where the value of the subject-matter of the offence does not exceed five thousand dollars.

Minimum punishment

(1.1) When a person is prosecuted on indictment and convicted of one or more offences referred to in subsection (1), the court that imposes the sentence shall impose a minimum punishment of imprisonment for a term of two years if the total value of the subject-matter of the offences exceeds one million dollars.

Affecting public market

(2) Every one who, by deceit, falsehood or other fraudulent means, whether or not it is a false pretence within the meaning of this Act, with intent to defraud, affects the public market price of stocks, shares, merchandise or anything that is offered for sale to the public is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding fourteen years.

Intimidation

423 (1) Every one is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than five years or is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction who, wrongfully and without lawful authority, for the purpose of **compelling another person to abstain from doing anything that he or she has a lawful right to do, or to do anything that he or**

she has a lawful right to abstain from doing,

(a) uses violence or threats of violence to that person or their intimate partner or children, or injures the person's property;

(b) intimidates or attempts to intimidate that person or a relative of that person by threats that, in Canada or elsewhere, violence or other injury will be done to or punishment inflicted on him or her or a relative of his or hers, or that the property of any of them will be damaged;

(c) persistently follows that person;

(d) hides any tools, clothes or other property owned or used by that person, or deprives him or her of them or hinders him or her in the use of them;

(e) with one or more other persons, follows that person, in a disorderly manner, on a highway;

(f) besets or watches the place where that person resides, works, carries on business or happens to be; or

(g) blocks or obstructs a highway.

Exception

(2) A person who attends at or near or approaches a dwelling-house or place, for the purpose only of obtaining or communicating information, does not watch or beset within the meaning of this section.

Wilfully causing event to occur

429 (1) Every one who causes the occurrence of an event by doing an act or by omitting to do an act that it is his duty to do, knowing that the act or omission will probably cause the occurrence of the event and being reckless whether the event occurs or not, shall be deemed, for the purposes of this Part, wilfully to have caused the occurrence of the event.

Mischief

430 (1) Every one commits mischief who wilfully

(a) destroys or damages property;

- (b) renders property dangerous, useless, inoperative or ineffective;
- (c) obstructs, interrupts or interferes with the lawful use, enjoyment or operation of property; or
- (d) obstructs, interrupts or interferes with any person in the lawful use, enjoyment or operation of property.

RCMP Act:

Duties

Marginal note:Duties

18 It is the duty of members who are peace officers, subject to the orders of the Commissioner,

- (a) to perform all duties that are assigned to peace officers in relation to **the preservation of the peace, the prevention of crime and of offences against the laws of Canada and the laws in force in any province** in which they may be employed, and the apprehension of criminals and offenders and others who may be lawfully taken into custody;
- (b) to execute all warrants, and perform all duties and services in relation thereto, that may, under this Act or the laws of Canada or the laws in force in any province, be lawfully executed and performed by peace officers;
- (c) to perform all duties that may be lawfully performed by peace officers in relation to the escort and conveyance of convicts and other persons in custody to or from any courts, places of punishment or confinement, asylums or other places; and
- (d) to perform such other duties and functions as are prescribed by the Governor in Council or the Commissioner.

Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999, S.C. 1999, c. 33

SCHEDULE 1

List of Toxic Substances

40 Inorganic fluorides

74 Carbon dioxide, which has the molecular formula CO₂

GPMB - GLOBAL PREPAREDNESS MONITORING BOARD

Progress indicator(s) by September 2020

The United Nations (including WHO) conducts at least two system-wide training and simulation exercises, including one for covering the deliberate release of a lethal respiratory pathogen.

Hamilton mask requirement bylaw 20-155 Laws

1.1

“Establishment” means any municipal bus or transit shelter and any enclosed space where members of the public are ordinarily invited or permitted access, either expressly or by implication, and whether or not a fee is charged for entry and shall include without limitation:

- (a) premises or any portion thereof which are used as a place of business for the sale or offering for sale of goods or services and includes a mall or similar structure which contains multiple places of business;
- (b) churches, mosques, synagogues, temples, or other places of worship;
- (c) community centres including indoor recreational facilities;
- (d) libraries, art galleries, museums, aquariums, zoos, and other similar facilities;
- (e) facilities operated by community service agencies which are attended by members of the public;
- (f) banquet halls, convention centres, arenas, stadiums, and other enclosed event spaces;
- (g) premises utilized as an open house, presentation centre, or other facility for real estate purposes;
- (h) common areas of hotels, motels, and other multi-unit short term rentals, such as lobbies, elevators, meeting rooms, or other common use facilities;
- (i) concert venues, theatres, cinemas, casinos, and other entertainment facilities;
- (j) homeless shelters; and
- (k) municipal public transportation facilities including, for clarity, all vehicles owned or operated by Hamilton Street Railway and DARTS Transit;

For clarity “Establishment” shall not include:

- (a) airports or other facilities under the jurisdiction of the federal government;
- (b) provincial public transportation facilities;
- (c) schools or post-secondary institutions;
- (d) child care facilities;
- (e) portions of an Establishment that are not open to members of the public;
- (f) hospitals, independent health facilities, or offices of regulated health professionals; and
- (g) any portion of a property used primarily as a private dwelling;

“Operator” means a person or organization who/which is responsible for or otherwise has custody, or control over the operation, of an Establishment and shall include without limitation a supervisor, manager, or owner of an Establishment;

“Face Covering” means a medical or non-medical mask or other face covering such as a balaclava, bandana, scarf, cloth, or other similar item that covers the nose, mouth, and chin without gapping.

2.4 Every Operator shall take reasonable steps to ensure that no member of the public is permitted entry to, or otherwise remains within, the Establishment unless the member of the public is wearing a Face Covering in a manner which covers their mouth, nose, and chin.

This requirement shall not apply to members of the public that state that they fall within an exemption of this by-law or appear to fall within one of the exemptions.

2.5 Every Operator shall ensure that any person who refuses to comply with the requirements of this by-law is promptly asked to leave their Establishment and is reported to Authorized Staff upon failure to comply with this direction.

2.9 For the purposes of this by-law, no Operator shall require any employee or member of the public to provide proof that any exemption set out in section 4.1 applies to that employee or member of the public or request any Personal Health Information from any individual.

PART 4 - EXEMPTIONS

4.1 The requirements of sections 3.1 and 3.2 shall not apply to a person who:

- (a) is a child under the age of two;
- (b) is a child at least two years of age but under the age of 5 years who refuses to wear a Face Covering and cannot be persuaded to do so by their caregiver;

- (c) has an underlying medical condition which inhibits their ability to wear a Face Covering;
- (d) is unable to place or remove a Face Covering without assistance;
- (e) is an employee or agent of the Operator and is within an area designated for them and not for public access, or is within or behind a physical barrier;
- (f) is reasonably accommodated by not wearing a Face Covering in accordance with the Human Rights Code including a person with a disability that makes it difficult to wear, or communicate while wearing, a Face Covering;
- (g) is in a swimming pool;
- (h) is actively engaged in an athletic or fitness activity;
- (i) who removes the Face Covering for the period necessary to provide, or receive, services or treatment;
- (j) who is sleeping or in bed at a homeless shelter; or
- (k) states that one of the exemptions of this by-law applies to them.

4.2 The requirements of sections 2.4 and 2.5 shall not apply to an Operator with respect to a person who is exempt from wearing a Face Covering in accordance with this by-law.

Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act (S.C. 2000, c. 24)

crime against humanity means murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation, imprisonment, torture, sexual violence, persecution **or any other inhumane act or omission that is committed against any civilian population** or any identifiable group and that, at the time and in the place of its commission, constitutes a crime against humanity according to customary international law or conventional international law or by virtue of its being criminal according to the general principles of law recognized by the community of nations, whether or not it constitutes a contravention of the law in force at the time and in the place of its commission. (crime contre l'humanité)

genocide means an act or omission committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, an identifiable group of persons, as such, that, at the time and in the place of its commission, constitutes genocide according to customary international law or conventional international law or by virtue of its being criminal according to the general principles of law recognized by the community of nations, whether or not it constitutes a contravention of the law in force at the time and in the place of its commission.

Health Care Consent Act, 1996, S.O. 1996, c. 2, Sched. A

Consent to Treatment

No treatment without consent

10 (1) A health practitioner who proposes a treatment for a person shall not administer the treatment, and shall take reasonable steps to ensure that it is not administered, unless,

(a) he or she is of the opinion that the person is capable with respect to the treatment, and the person has given consent; or

(b) he or she is of the opinion that the person is incapable with respect to the treatment, and the person's substitute decision-maker has given consent on the person's behalf in accordance with this Act. 1996, c. 2, Sched. A, s. 10 (1).

Opinion of Board or court governs

(2) If the health practitioner is of the opinion that the person is incapable with respect to the treatment, but the person is found to be capable with respect to the treatment by the Board on an application for review of the health practitioner's finding, or by a court on an appeal of the Board's decision, the health practitioner shall not administer the treatment, and shall take reasonable steps to ensure that it is not administered, unless the person has given consent. 1996, c. 2, Sched. A, s. 10 (2).

Elements of consent

11 (1) The following are the elements required for consent to treatment:

1. The consent must relate to the treatment.
2. The consent must be informed.
3. The consent must be given voluntarily.
4. The consent must not be obtained through misrepresentation or fraud. 1996, c. 2, Sched. A, s. 11 (1).

Informed consent

(2) A consent to treatment is informed if, before giving it,

(a) the person received the information about the matters set out in subsection (3) that a reasonable person in the same circumstances would require in order to make a decision about the treatment; and

(b) the person received responses to his or her requests for additional information about those matters. 1996, c. 2, Sched. A, s. 11 (2).

Same

(3) The matters referred to in subsection (2) are:

1. The nature of the treatment.
2. The expected benefits of the treatment.
3. The material risks of the treatment.
4. The material side effects of the treatment.
5. Alternative courses of action.
6. The likely consequences of not having the treatment. 1996, c. 2, Sched. A, s. 11 (3).

Express or implied

(4) Consent to treatment may be express or implied. 1996, c. 2, Sched. A, s. 11 (4).

Roncarelli v Duplessis - Supreme Court precedence:

Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] S.C.R. 121, was a landmark constitutional decision of the Supreme Court of Canada where the Court held that Maurice Duplessis, the premier of Quebec, had overstepped his authority by revoking the liquor licence of a Jehovah's Witness. **Justice Ivan Rand wrote in his often-quoted reasons that the unwritten constitutional principle of the "rule of law" meant no public official was above the law and so could neither suspend nor dispense it.**

Although Duplessis had authority under the relevant legislation, his decision was not based on any factors related to the operation of the licence but was made for unrelated reasons and so was held to be exercised arbitrarily and without good faith.[1]

Decision In a 6-3 decision, the Supreme Court of Canada reinstated the trial decision, holding that Duplessis wrongfully caused the revocation of Roncarelli's liquor licence. The six judges who sided

with Roncarelli used different legal reasoning to reach their decision. Three judges wrote that Duplessis had ordered the cancellation outside his authority as premier; two judges stated that although Duplessis had the power to order the cancellation, he had done so in bad faith; and the sixth **judge concluded the premier was not entitled to immunity as a public official.**

Roncarelli was awarded \$33,123.53 in damages as well as costs in the Court of Queen's Bench and the Supreme Court of Canada. Roncarelli's son, however, maintained that it was a significant moral victory in his father's struggle against the system.

A Supreme Court of Canada decision - Roncarelli vs. Duplessis, [1959], it was a landmark constitutional decision of **the Supreme Court of Canada where the Court held that Maurice Duplessis, the actual Premier of Quebec, had overstepped his authority by revoking the liquor license of a Jehovah's Witness. Current officials are stepping outside of statutory authority, big time, and are privately liable.** The Premier of Quebec, while he was in office, was held privately liable for acts done outside of his lawful authority. The act of Quebec Premier Maurice Duplessis through the instrumentality of the Commission brought about a breach of an implied public statutory duty toward Frank Roncarelli (a citizen); it was a gross abuse of legal power expressly intended to punish him for an act wholly irrelevant to the statute, a punishment which inflicted on him, as it was intended to do, the destruction of his economic life as a restaurant keeper within the province.

Human Rights Code, R.S.O. 1990, c. H.19

Preamble

Whereas recognition of the **inherent dignity and the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace** in the world and is in accord with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights as proclaimed by the United Nations;

And Whereas it is public policy in Ontario to recognize **the dignity and worth of every person and to provide for equal rights and opportunities** without discrimination that is contrary to law, **and having as its aim the creation of a climate of understanding and mutual respect for the dignity and worth of each person so that each person feels a part of the community and able to contribute fully to the development and well-being** of the community and the Province;

Police Services Act - sections 1.2, 42 (1) (b) & (d) & (e), 42 (2) & (3), 80, 81;

Constitution Act, 1867 - sections 3, 9, 15, 16;

Constitution Act, 1982 - sections 52 (1), 1, 2, 6 (2) (b), 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 26, 31;

Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act - sections 7.0.2 (1) & (3), 7.0.1 (3);

Criminal Codes - sections 19, 21, 121, 122, 126, 128, 130, 176, 180, 218, 219, 245, 269, 269.1 (1) (2) (3), 336, 346, 361 (1), 380, 423 (1), 430;

The RCMP Act - section 18;

Schedule 1 showing item #74 (CO2) of Canadian Environmental Protection Act 1999;

The GPMB - about training & simulation exercise of lethal respiratory pathogen deliberate release;

Hamilton bylaw sections 2.4, 2.5, 2.9, 4.2;

Definition of "**crime against humanity**" from the "Crimes Against Humanity & War Crimes Act";

Health Care Consent Act - section 10.

Roncarelli v Duplessis - Supreme Court precedence:

Human Rights Code, R.S.O. 1990, c. H.19

8) DEMAND NOTICE.