LOCKDOWN SUICIDES become MURDER, under section 229 (c) of the Criminal Code.

Have documents ready.

229 (c) MURDER - if a person, for an unlawful object, does anything that they know is likely to cause death, and by doing so causes the death of a human being, even if they desire to effect their object without causing death or bodily harm to any human being.

Kosoian v STM, 2019-11-29 case 38012:

Judges: Wagner, Richard; Abella, Rosalie Silberman; Moldaver, Michael J.; Karakatsanis, Andromache; Gascon, Clément; Côté, Suzanne; Brown, Russell; Rowe, Malcolm; Martin, Sheilah.

"Where there is uncertainty about the law in force, it is incumbent on them to make the inquiries that are reasonable in the circumstances, for example by suspending their activities in order to consult with a prosecutor or by rereading the relevant provisions and the available documentation."

"In the civil context, it is disobedience of an unlawful order that must be considered the normal conduct of a prudent and diligent person, and not the reverse".

Police officers cannot avoid personal civil liability simply by arguing that they were merely carrying out an order that they knew or ought to have known was unlawful.

A reasonable, prudent and diligent person is not under an obligation to obey an unlawful order.

Police officers help to ensure the safety of persons and property and to safeguard rights and freedoms.

Police officers who deviate from these rules may be civilly liable. They have no public law immunity in this regard.

A police officer, like any other person, is held civilly liable for the injury caused to another by his or her fault.

A police officer who acts unlawfully cannot easily escape civil liability by relying on his or her ignorance or misunderstanding of the law.

In addition, the mere fact that there is a legal basis for a police officer's actions does not necessarily exempt the officer from civil liability.

Police officers cannot claim to carry out their mission — to maintain peace, order and public security and to prevent and repress crime and offences under the law and by-laws (Police Act, s. 48 para. 1) — without having an adequate knowledge and understanding of the fundamental principles of criminal and penal law, of the rights and freedoms protected by the Charters and of the offences they are called upon to repress, and without knowing the limits of their authority.

They cannot rely blindly on the training and instructions given to them, nor can they mechanically follow internal policies, directives and procedures or usual police practices.

ER Page 1 of 6

Police officers sometimes commit a civil fault if they act unlawfully, even where their conduct is otherwise consistent with the training and instructions they have received, with existing policies, directives and procedures and with the usual practices.

Roncarelli v Duplessis, 1959-01-27:

Judges: Kerwin, Patrick; Taschereau, Robert; Rand, Ivan Cleveland; Locke, Charles Holland; Cartwright, John Robert; Fauteux, Joseph Honoré Gérald; Abbott, Douglas Charles; Martland, Ronald; Judson, Wilfred.

Justice Ivan Rand wrote in his often-quoted reasons that the unwritten constitutional principle of the "rule of law" meant no public official was above the law and so could neither suspend nor dispense it. Although Duplessis had authority under the relevant legislation, his **decision was not based on any factors related to** the operation of the licence but was made for unrelated reasons and so was held to be exercised arbitrarily and without good faith.[1]

Duplessis had ordered the cancellation outside his authority as premier; two judges stated that although Duplessis had the power to order the cancellation, he had done so in bad faith; and the sixth judge concluded **the premier was not entitled to immunity as a public official.**

A Supreme Court of Canada decision - Roncarelli vs. Duplessis, [1959], it was a landmark constitutional decision of the Supreme Court of Canada where the Court held that Maurice Duplessis, the actual Premier of Quebec, had overstepped his authority by revoking the liquor license of a Jehovah's Witness. Current officials are stepping outside of statutory authority, big time, and are privately liable.

The Premier of Quebec, while he was in office, was held privately liable for acts done outside of his lawful authority.

Bill Gates:

Bill Gates has an interest in GLOBAL population reduction via vaccinations. Bill Gates has also used the terms "Pandemic 1", "Pandemic 2" and other phrases that would eliminate freedoms that involve vaccinating the entire world. The Police failed to pick up on such corruption. How does Bill Gates know there is another Pandemic coming?

Klaus Schwabb:

"We all know but still pay insufficient attention to the frightening scenario of a comprehensive cyber attack, which would bring to a complete halt to the power supply, transportation, hospital services, our society as a whole.

The covid-19 crisis would be seen in this respect as a small disturbance in comparison to a major cyber attack.

To use the covid-19 crisis as a timely opportunity to reflect on the lessons cyber security community can draw and improve, our in preparedness for a potential cyber pandemic."

ER Page 2 of 6

Report on the 129 day Declaration of Emergency:

"Over 30 institutions and offices around the world have been queried through Freedom of Information requests for records containing proof that the alleged SARS-COV-2 virus in fact exists. The responses have yielded in total no records. Ontario public health officials have zero legitimate evidence to support their story of a "COVID-19 virus" let alone a "COVID-19" pandemic. The virus is unproven and purely theoretical. They have committed FRAUD on the population."

The DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY was part of a UN and WHO system-wide training and simulation exercise of a deliberate release of a lethal respiratory pathogen.

The report on the 129 day Declaration of Emergency did not divulge the resources, criteria and circumstances for the declaration of Emergency nor was it ever divulged under oath to the population at any other point - still to this day. It can easily be said that the resources in question in section 7.0.1 (3) 2 were staples or paper clips. The Lieutenant Governor failed to disclose sworn records containing proof that the alleged SARS-COV-2 virus in fact exists.

"Deaths are included whether or not COVID-19 was determined to be a contributing or underlying cause of death as indicated in the iPHIS field Type of Death." (source = https://files.ontario.ca/moh-covid-19-report-en-2020-06-26.pdf page 14)

"There is no question that there will be a challenge to the coming administration in the arena of infectious diseases," Dr. Fauci said during a speech at Georgetown University (July 2017), adding, "the thing we're extraordinarily confident about is that we're going to see this in the next few years."

Since the details of the criteria, details of the resources, and details of the circumstances have never been disclosed to the population under oath, it is DEEMED a HOAX.

Section 7.0.1 (3) requires that one of the following circumstances exists:

- i. The resources normally available to a ministry of the Government of Ontario or an agency, board or commission or other branch of the government, including existing legislation, cannot be relied upon without the risk of serious delay.
- ii. The resources referred to in subparagraph i may be insufficiently effective to address the emergency.
- iii. It is not possible, without the risk of serious delay, to ascertain whether the resources referred to in subparagraph i can be relied upon.

Rephrased: one of the following circumstances exists:

- i. The resources normally available cannot be relied upon without the risk of serious delay.
- ii. Those resources may be insufficiently effective to address the emergency.
- iii. It is not possible, without the risk of serious delay, to ascertain whether those resources can be relied upon.

This is equivalent to saying - I saw a ghost, everyone stay indoors, don't work, etc., without ever stating under oath any details of the ghost, such as pictures, audio recordings, video recordings, sworn witness statements, sworn statements from the person stating they saw a ghost to verify a ghost exists, etc.

It is deemed to be a CASPER THE FRIENDLY GHOST COVID-19 VIRUS - a disorder in the mental capacity of the Lieutenant Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier of Ontario DOUG FORD as well as all "order followers" - especially considering that:

THEREFORE, nothing has been DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED. THEREFORE, the Lieutenant

Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier DOUG FORD failed to obey a statute, a criminal code violation, section 126, DISOBEYING A STATUTE. The Lieutenant Governor also committed Terrorism, HOAX regarding Terrorism, and Murder as LOCKDOWN SUICIDES BECOME MURDER under section 229 (c) of the Criminal Code.

The duty of the Police is to lawfully take into custody, detain and question the Lieutenant Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier DOUG FORD by immediately taking into custody the Lieutenant Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier DOUG FORD.

PCR:

The problem is that there is zero proof that those sequences are part of any SARS-COV-2 virus, since SARS-COV-2 is not even proven to exist and the alleged genomes were manufactured. (And even if it did exist, there is no way for PCR to determine the origin of the sequence(s) detected. PCR can't distinguish between an RNA sequence from an intact virus and an RNA sequence from a "dead"/destroyed virus... or from some other another source.)

A positive test cannot be equated to "infection with a virus". It has no clinical relevance. Therefore, all test results are null and void.

There are no real cases. Hospitals are near empty, Hospital staff are dancing because they are not busy. There is no war zone in hospitals.

Emergency Orders:

The so called orders no longer serve any purpose because the "purpose of making orders" " in times of a declared emergency"... is the set of words used in section 7.0.2 (1) of the EMACPA.

The Declaration of Emergency had been revoked on July 24, 2020. All so called orders have no purpose beyond July 24, 2020. They are therefore neutralized and have no purpose. This makes common sense.

Since there is no declared emergency, and even if there was one, full freedoms are guaranteed - either way. This is disorderly conduct on the part of the Lieutenant Governor and Premier.

<u>Termination of COVID-19 declared emergency</u> - <u>Re-opening Act</u>

17 Unless it has been terminated before this section comes into force, <u>the COVID-19 declared emergency is terminated and Ontario Regulation 50/20 (Declaration of Emergency) is revoked.</u>

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AND CIVIL PROTECTION ACT:

Emergency powers and orders - Purpose

7.0.2 (1) <u>The purpose of making orders</u> under this section is to promote the public good by protecting the health, safety and welfare of the people of Ontario <u>in times of declared emergencies</u> in a manner <u>that is subject to the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms</u>.

ER Page 4 of 6

<u>Murder, Domestic Terrorism, HOAX regarding Terrorism, Torture, 176, 126, 122 Breach of Trust:</u>

The Declaration of Emergency is deemed to be a <u>HOAX</u> without sworn under oath DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED proof required by section 1 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

The Lieutenant Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier DOUG FORD did cause public intimidation with regards to its security including economic security, causing persons to do or refrain from doing any act, such as freedom of assembly, freedom of the right to the gaining of a livelihood, freedom to not breathe in one's own carbon dioxide, among many other things.

The Lieutenant Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier DOUG FORD recklessly endangered the lives of children, elderly, the vulnerable, and the healthy segment of the population. The Lieutenant Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier DOUG FORD are responsible for "LOCKDOWN SUICIDES", which become "MURDER" under section 229 (c) of the Criminal Code. The Lieutenant Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier DOUG FORD also caused serious disruption and interference of essential services.

One example of a very significant essential service that was seriously disrupted and interfered with is access to a Justice of the Peace by any member of the population. Due process has been obstructed and interfered with.

The "JP" office has not been processing private prosecution applications from the population to this day since March 2020. **The population does not have access to a "JP" nor DUE PROCESS, nor fundamental justice.**

Obstruction of Justice by Police, LG, P:

Obstructing justice

139 (2) Every person who intentionally attempts in any manner other than a manner described in subsection (1) to obstruct, **pervert** or **defeat the course of justice is guilty** of

- (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than 10 years; or
- (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Breach of trust involves abusing a position of authority for self-benefit and against the interests of the person to whom you owe the duty of trust.

Breach of trust upon conviction can attract some of the most severe sentences of imprisonment for first offenders of any offences.

ER Page 5 of 6

DUE PROCESS

DUE PROCESS has been essentially annihilated by the Lieutenant Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier DOUG FORD.

Due process refers to the requirement that when the government acts in such a way that denies a citizen of a life, liberty, or property interest, the person must be given notice, the opportunity to be heard, and a decision by a neutral decision maker long before a right is to be violated.

Due process must be guaranteed when someone is denied "life, liberty, or property."

<u>List of required procedures that due process requires.</u>

- 1. An unbiased tribunal.
- 2. Notice of the proposed action and the grounds asserted for it.
- 3. Opportunity to present reasons why the proposed action should not be taken.
- 4. The right to present evidence, including the right to call witnesses.
- 5. The right to know opposing evidence.
- 6. The right to cross-examine adverse witnesses.
- 7. A decision based exclusively on the evidence presented.
- 8. Opportunity to be represented by counsel.
- 9. Requirement that the tribunal prepare a record of the evidence presented.
- 10. Requirement that the tribunal prepare written findings of fact and reasons for its decision.

The Lieutenant Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier DOUG FORD did commit Criminal Code violations 83.01 (1)(b) = Domestic Terrorism, and 83.231 (1) = HOAX regarding Terrorism, and Murder 229 (c) as LOCKDOWN SUICIDES become MURDER. They also committed TORTURE, reckless endangerment of children, disobeying a statute, and so much more. They have acted recklessly, and DISORDERLY and must also be taken into lawful custody under the Mental health Act as well, particularly section 17 of that Act.

I ask all people to locate the Lieutenant Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier DOUG FORD, and take them into safe peaceful custody, and call the Police to take the Lieutenant Governor ELIZABETH DOWDESWELL and Premier DOUG FORD into their lawful custody, and have them charged with numerous criminal code offenses, especially sections 83.01 (1)(b), and section 83.231 (1) - Domestic Terrorism, and HOAX regarding Terrorism in particular.

Disorderly conduct is any behavior that is likely to cause other people alarm, anger, annoyance, or an increased likelihood to engage in unlawful activity.

MENTAL HEALTH ACT - Action by police officer 17 Where a police officer has reasonable and probable grounds to believe that a person is acting or has acted in a disorderly manner and has reasonable cause to believe that the person, (b) has caused or is causing another person to fear bodily harm from him or her; and in addition the police officer is of the opinion that the person is apparently suffering from mental disorder of a nature or quality that likely will result in, (e) serious bodily harm to another person; and that it would be dangerous to proceed under section 16, the police officer may take the person in custody to an appropriate place for examination by a physician.

ER Page 6 of 6